AMERICAN RIGHTS GUARDIAN UPDATE

VOLUME 7 NUMBER 8 Fall 2003

The only printed voice of opposition to Federal Government Indian Policy in Wisconsin

ARGU

Published by Protect Americans' Rights and Resources to maintain an informed membership

Folks, we have arrived at a situation where less than 1% of the population of these United States either controls, or is on the verge of gaining control of the County, City or State where they collect their government handouts. With the Campaign Finance law, Indian Tribes can buy any politician they need in order to advance their agenda. The other 99% of the country's population doesn't have the right or the gambling money to buy politicians. There are many politicians who are more than happy to hock their front seat in hell for a cascade of Indian dollars. You can be sure that the Indian's shopping carts are overstuffed with their purchases. From Wisconsin to New York to California to Washington State, certain unscrupulous greedy politicians of all sizes probably are on the Indian payroll.

Several decades of bleeding heart politicians and liberal judges have been busting their butts to accommodate the poor down-trodden Indians while trashing the Constitution. This has un-corked a bottle, releasing a horrendous genie that is meting out havoc on the 99%. Surely there must be some politicians out there with the motivation and intestinal fortitude to at least try to put this genie back in the bottle.

Case in point, here in Wisconsin. It has been rumored that Governor Jim Doyle is a ringer that was educated by Indians with the purpose of doing the Indian's bidding. So far his actions have given credence to these rumors; Navajo Jim will probably revert to his original title of "Chief" after he is recalled or voted out.

In this issue

On Page 1: Governor of Minnesota apologizes to Indians because DNR commissioner told the truth.
On Page 2: An analysis of Tribal myths**:** Author Scott Peterman exposes most Tribal claims as fictitious.
On Page 4: California Congressman goes to bat for a Rhode Island Tribe: Rhode Island State troopers do battle with Narraganett tribal thugs.
On Page 5: This Congressman sings a different tune: Wisconsin's US Congressman Mark Green's view of Federal Indian policy & comments by Victor Bellomy.
On Page 5: Hate Mail: Fan mail from a disgruntled Lac du Flambeau member.
On Page 6: The power of Indian Gambling money: A look at the corrupt politics of southeastern Wisconsin.
On Page 7: Why Communism loves Indian Extremists.
On Page 8: Common sense deceased.
On Page 8: Feds try to settle Missouri River conflict: A look at ridiculous rulings by Federal Judges.
On Page 9: DNR shafts bow hunters: After bow hunters bought their licenses DNR shut off baiting.
.**On Page 9:** Out of the mouths of babes.

Happy Halloween

Pawlenty Apology Illustrates The Power of Indian Gambling Money

The following written By Joe Fellegy and taken from July issue of the PERM newsletter, illustrates how Indian gambling money easily buys politicians & bureaucrats.

It isn't every day that a Minnesota governor sets up a respected DNR commissioner for public flogging over nothing. But that's how it looked last week when Governor Tim Pawlenty's staff willingly participated in a dishonorable tribal public relations power play aimed at discrediting and silencing DNR Commissioner Gene Merriam, and by implication, any state official who utters a syllable deemed "offensive" by tribal politicos. (There's a difference between the tribal government-lobby-public relations machine, whose influence on government and media is limitless, and "the Indians.")

By now you might know that Commissioner Merriam spoke at a PERM fundraiser at Wahkon on April 27. In a Q & A following his talk, and in a later chat with Outdoor News editor Rob Drieslein, he indicated that, yes, given how he views the separateness embodied in the playing out of treaty ishing at Mille Lacs (he used the words "misdirected" and "apartheid") he would personally support a presidential order

(Continued see Apology Page 2)

(Apology Continued From Page 1)
extinguishing the not-forever harvest privileges — something envisioned in the 1837 Treaty itself, and whose possibility was raised again by the U.S. Supreme Court in its 1999 ruling in the Mille Lacs case.

On the afternoon of Monday, May 12, with interesting gambling proposals alive in the legislature, opportunistic word police in the tribal political establishment distributed a letter, signed by seven leaders and a council member from eight Indian bands, demanding Commissioner Merriam's resignation. The letter accused Merriam of "unacceptable," "inappropriate," and "outrageous" conduct of a "horrific nature," of making "offensive, hostile, and completely unacceptable" comments, and of "obvious bias against Indians." The Governor's people should have attacked or tossed this hysterical letter. Instead, the apologies.

Those who follow state-tribal issues see a familiar ploy here. Say anything critical or challenging about anybody or anything tribal (be it legal, economic, political, etc.), and a powerful force — tribal spokespersons, their lobbyists and public relations wags, and a willing cadre of media helpers — jumps into action. Anti-Indian racism is charged or implied in branding the offender. It's the no-fail discrediting and silencing tool which obviously works perfectly in controlling Minnesota government, regardless of party, and most state media as well. There's been no official leadership to confront this scurrilous tactic.

The Pawlenty-Merriam apologies probably broke a speed record for gubernatorial jumping when the "offended" party complains. According to Leslie Kupchella, Gov. Pawlenty's communications chief, the apologies were "simply the right thing to do." So simply, and so quickly, that she didn't know who wrote and delivered the hyperbolic tribal letter.

The quick and unreasoned apologies forestalled chances for a more carefully targeted response to the letter, or even a defense of the Commissioner, if such unwarranted charges even merited a high-level response.

Related points

• Tribal leaders signing the sack-Merriam letter did not include those from Red Lake, White Earth, and Fond du Lac bands, which comprise the strong majority of Minnesota Chippewa. Seven of the eight signees, from outside the 1837 treaty rights area, have no connections with tribal fishing at Mille Lacs.

• The tribal leash on state government is so tight that as of Sunday no high official had publicly criticized the letter's false allegations.

• Tribal operatives discover racism everywhere, even in rational discussions about government policy and law. Too often, good people are defamed and smeared, with ample help from media and silent public officials.

• The Governor's apology stated Merriam's "unfortunate" remarks didn't reflect his administration's "policy." But Pawlenty has yet to articulate an Indian policy. Given his administration's knee-jerk, groveling response to the hysterical tribal complaints about Merriam, one now wonders what guides state government in dealing with tribes when state interests are on the line.

Fretting about "apartheid"

The Commissioner's April 27 remarks were moderate and deferential, explaining Mille Lacs fisheries management in the context of court opinions and court-approved processes. He spoke positively about Curt Kalk, Mille Lacs Band DNR Commissioner. Had the tribal letter signers and Pawlenty apologizers been present, they'd have been hard pressed to find anything "unfortunate" in Commissioner Merriam's temperate remarks.

And then, there's apartheid. Tribal operatives found bait. Gubernatorial apologizers stupidly swallowed it. And media commentators neglect bigger issues while trifling over the word. One definition refers to South Africa's old forced racial divides. But the word's general meaning applies to racial segregation and separatist policies. This is benign, common usage of a morally neutral word.

In The Disuniting of America, Arthur Schlesinger Jr., famed historian, Democrat, and JFK biographer, uses "apartheid" in discussing the current obsession with separate ethnic and racial group identities at the expense of a common national identity. Anthropologist James Clifton has described how U. S. Indian policy and politics have perpetuated a kind of political separatism distinguishable from South African apartheid but still a "similar system" with race-based characteristics. Jean-Jacques Simard, sociology professor and native studies expert at Laval University in Quebec, opined in a 1990 essay that "Indian status consecrates a North American version of apartheid, a legally defined racial apartness that is popularly defended as marvelously benevolent." These guys aren't intellectual lightweights!

One could easily argue that the early-1990s treaty "settlement" efforts in the Minnesota legislature to partition the Mille Lacs fishing grounds into race-based "zones" — a move supported by tribal leaders, Twin Cities editors, high state officials, and scores of legislators — reeked of separatism and apartheid. So does the litany of stark differences in fishing limits, methods, and seasons, which breed racial antagonisms.

Even if politically correct nit-pickers must fuss over Commissioner Merriam's innocent usage, should it have brought historic high-level apologies befitting official crime or malfeasance? No! That was a sad mistake!

§§§§§§ **Consider this**: §§§§§§

Two Al Qaeda relatives get together to reminisce....One of them has his wallet out and is flipping through pictures. "Yeah, this is my oldest. He's a martyr." "Here's my second son. He's a martyr, too." There's a pause...The second Arab says, wistfully, "Ah, they blow up so fast, don't they?"

An Analysis of Tribal Myths

(By Scott Petermam)

After a deluge of mythical claims pertain-

(Continued See Analysis Page 3)

(Analysis Continued From Page 2)
ing to tribal rights, powers and history appeared in the local mainstream media Scott Peterman, President of the Upstate Citizens for Equality (UCE), a private civic group of New Yorkers, rebutted these claims with the following facts:

The case history of the courts hearing Indian land claims based on alleged violations of the federal Trade & Intercourse Acts is an unprecedented history of the federal courts literally bending the laws and judicial procedures well beyond the breaking point. Not only has the burden of proof been placed upon the defendants, but all defenses normally available to defendants have been disallowed by the courts.

Perhaps most appalling, and most disastrous for the defendants, is the courts' unwavering and blind adherence to the practice of applying contemporary federal Indian laws, rules, definitions, and judicial constructions to events that occurred decades or even centuries before they were written. This practice has resulted in the courts unwittingly misinterpreting history and causing the suffering of countless American citizens.

A recent ruling, Oneida Indian Nation of New York v. City of Sherrill, by the Second Circuit Court of Appeals provides an excellent example of this phenomenon. Quoting the U.S. Supreme Court's Oneida land claim ruling of 1985, the Second Circuit stated, "With the adoption of the Constitution, Indian relations came exclusively under federal authority." This statement is absolutely false. The degree and boundaries of federal authority over Indian tribes did not spring into existence upon the adoption of the Constitution, it evolved through decades of court decisions.

In his book "Crow Dog's Case," Professor of Law, Sidney L. Harring states, "Based on a scant constitutional framework for a conflict over the whole of North America, nineteenth-century judges carved out federal and state Indian law one case at a time." Harring's reference to "state Indian law" is signify

cant. State jurisdiction especially that of the original 13 states, over Indian tribes within their borders was a well recognized fact for decades. Federal courts eventually chipped away that jurisdiction resulting in today's "plenary" power of Congress over the tribes.

Taking a broad view of the assertion that the State of New York violated the federal Trade & Intercourse Acts when it made numerous land purchases from the various Iroquois tribes, one must eventually reach the realization that for a period of some 51 years states were repeatedly violating federal statutes while the Congressional Records and Journals made absolutely no mention of those supposed violations. How is that possible?

In the 1793 and successive versions of the Act, up until 1834, a section was included within the acts that stated, "And be it further enacted, That nothing in this act shall be construed to prevent any trade or intercourse with Indians living on lands surrounded by settlements of the citizens of the United States, and being within the jurisdiction of any of the individual states." In the margin of the statute books, next to this section, it reads "Construction of this act defined."

Incredibly, in its defense against the Oneida land claim, the Counties of Madison & Oneida failed to even mention, let alone use this "surrounded by settlements" exception to the acts.

Peterman Commentary/Analysis

The counties lost the case before the U.S. Supreme Court in 1985 and Indian tribes all along the eastern seaboard of the United States used that flawed and poorly defended case as a precedent to press their fraudulent claims forward.

I should mention that three other land claim lawsuits were able to introduce the exception, but the courts refused to accept its significance. In the Narragansett and Mashpee cases, the federal district courts ruled that the exception only applied to "individual" Indians. In the Mohegan case, the Second Circuit rejected that view and ruled that the exception was not meant to cover "land transactions." How the court could ignore the wording "That nothing in this act shall be construed to prevent any trade or intercourse" is absolutely astounding.

Interestingly, the only historical evidence brought forward by the tribes that would suggest that the state did indeed violate the acts, is some correspondence of 1795 between then Attorney General, William Bradford and then Secretary of War, Timothy Pickering in which Pickering asks Bradford for his opinion on the applicability of the acts with regard to New York's recent purchases of land from the tribes. In Bradford's response he states, "The language of this act is too express to admit of any doubt upon the question unless there be something in the circumstances of the case under consideration to take it out of the general prohibition of the law." There were actually two "somethings" to remove the purchases from the "general prohibition of the law:" the fact that "state" reservations were not Indian lands covered by the acts and the fact that the "surrounded by settlements" exception applied.

I have been studying the laws, issues, and history surrounding these Indian land claims for over 6 years, and I am absolutely convinced that the claims are a fraud. Had the federal government decided that these land purchases fell within its purview, there was absolutely no reason for it not to do so, and if it had done so, it would not have found any reason to stop the purchases. The purchases were made from willing sellers at a price (50 cents to $1.50 per acre) that was 50 to 150 times that recommended by the federal government. At that time, Congress was not ratifying land acquisition treaties with the "wild" Indian tribes that were negotiated at over 2 cents an acre by federal treaty commissioners. Simply put, we do not owe the tribes a penny or a square inch of land. I have attached to this email a paper that I wrote detailing the evidence against the validity of these land claims, titled "Unjustifiable Restitution."

Behind these land claims, taxation,

(Continued See Myth Page 4)

(Myth Continued From page 3)
and jurisdictional issues, lies a profoundly flawed special body of law known as federal Indian law that has been manufactured by the courts. It purports to legalize race based gambling monopolies within states that prohibit commercial gambling, unconstitutional "nations" within the jurisdictional borders of states, segregation based on an insane "reservation" system, and an immensely absurd degree of so-called "tribal sovereign immunity."

This Federal Indian Policy (FIP) fiasco known as tribal sovereignty is:

Unconstitutional Unnecessary Degrading Expensive Ineffective - Doesn't accomplish what it purports to accomplish i.e. self-determination, preservation of culture Illusory – it doesn't really exist anyway Divisive Call them the 7 deadly sins if you like. The real irony in all of this is that Indians can and actually have done better without Uncle Sam treating them like "wards."

Peterman Commentary/Analysis

Three quarters of the citizens of Indian ancestry in this country do just fine without FIP directing, or should I say "misdirecting," their lives. Moreover, the intelligent observer frequently asks how sovereign self-determination can coexist with "wardship."

The issue of sales tax collection is frequently in the press and is frequently distorted by politicians, the press, and especially the Indian tribes. The facts however, are very simple to explain and very simple to understand. The U.S. Supreme Court has repeatedly ruled that states have the right and the power to enforce the collection of sales tax by tribal businesses from nonmember customers. Any customer, Indian or non-Indian, that is not a member of the tribe owning the business, must pay the tax. The issue has been fully litigated, and the state does not need to negotiate with the tribes to enforce its valid tax laws. Governor Pataki simply backed away from enforcement because of some tribal violence. A few months ago, finally realizing the extent of the tax evasion by the tribes, the state legislature passed a law demanding that the governor enforce this tax collection.

As you are probably aware, the highest court of New York recently ruled in the case of Saratoga Chamber of Commerce v. Pataki that the Mohawk's casino compact is invalid since it did not receive the ratification of the state legislature. The UCE has a similar lawsuit pending in State Supreme Court against Pataki that challenges the legality of the Oneida's Turning Stone casino compact; it too lacks the requisite legislative ratification. The UCE was actually the first entity to proclaim the illegality of the compacts and was also the first to file a lawsuit against the governor challenging their legality, but because of the Oneidas "influence" upon the politicians and judges in our area, we are still struggling in the lower court after some 4 years and 16 judges. It might interest you to know that the lion's share of our membership is composed of senior citizens that finance their lawsuit against their own governor by tossing dollar bills into a passed coffee can at UCE meetings held every two weeks.

Concerning treaties, the tribes frequently base their tax evasion and sovereignty on so-called "treaty rights," but their position falls flat for those that understand treaties. Treaties with the tribes do not bestow or sanction either sovereignty or tax exemptions. Contrary to what the tribes believe, treaties are not "forever." Treaties are instruments of expediency meant to last only so long as an advantage is obtained by the parties.

Implicit within all treaties is the legal principle known as rebus sic stantibus (Latin for "with things remaining thus"). Treaties are not permanent and thus, not eternally binding to all future generations. Governments may no more bind all future generations to treaty provisions than they may bind them to laws, and neither laws nor treaties may violate the principles set forth in our Constitution. While tribes frequently claim that treaties are "the supreme law of the land," only the U.S. Constitution holds that ground.

Sovereign rights based on race for a few American citizens is not, and will never be, reconcilable with the equality and civil rights guaranteed by the United States Constitution to all citizens, and this simple statement of fact forms the foundation of the UCE. The concepts of equal rights, equal opportunities, equality under the law, and equal responsibilities for all citizens are America's. They cannot be allowed to be bargained away by our politicians or ruled away by the black robed priests of our courts.

§§§§§§

California Congressman Goes to bat for a Rhode Island Tribe

If the following situation were reversed, I wonder if we would whiteness the same outcry?

Washington , DC - House Resources Committee Chairman Richard W. Pombo (R-CA) asked U.S. Attorney General John Ashcroft yesterday to open an inquiry into recent events on the Narragansett Tribal Land near Charlestown , Rhode Island .

A contingent of Rhode Island state troopers conducted a raid of a tobacco store operated by the Narragansett Tribe and located on land held in trust for the tribe by the federal government. When tribal members questioned and resisted the authority of state law enforcement agencies to exercise jurisdiction on their tribal land, the situation quickly escalated to a violent melee which resulted in numerous arrests and eight individuals having to seek treatment at a local hospital. Local news media cameras were present and recorded the incident as it unfolded.

"I am deeply disturbed by the images of the violent confrontation," Chairman Pombo wrote. "These images conjure up memories from incidents decades ago, in a troubling time for our country, that no American should wish to see happen again. Additionally, these televised

(Continued See California Page 5)

(California Continued From Page 4)
reports have outraged Native American leaders nationwide, who have expressed strong concerns that the civil rights of Native Americans, and the sovereign rights of the Narragansett Tribe, may have been violated." "I am aware that serious, complex and legitimate legal questions have been raised by the State of Rhode Island about the Narragansett Tribe's operation of a tobacco store," Pombo continued." These questions have led to tension and conflict between the two governments. However, the place to resolve these questions is in a court of law, not in an aggressive raid that risks violent confrontation on tribal land where tribal members, state and tribal law enforcement officers, and innocent bystanders are all put needlessly in harm's way."

"It has been recently announced that the Rhode Island State Police is conducting an internal review of this affair," Pombo wrote. "While this is a commendable step, due to the lengthy history of disputes between the Narragansett Tribe and the state, the complexity of the issues involved with the intersection of state and tribal law enforcement authority, and the high level of emotion currently running in Rhode Island, I believe that this review by itself is insufficient. Only the United States government, acting through the Department of Justice, will be seen as providing a fair and objective review of this incident and the surrounding controversies over the tribal tobacco store

This Congressman Sings a Different tune

(By Victor Bellomy)

The following is a letter in response to a letter from PARR Board member Victor Bellomy of Crandon Wi. To, U.S. Representative Mark Green of Green Bay Wisconsin:

Dear Victor: Thanks for your most recent email... As you already know, I share your concerns and frustrations.

I think you are right Victor We need to put the brakes on the massive expansion of the powers of Indian Tribes--- especially as it relates to decisions over non-tribal lands and people… The compacts in Wisconsin are the most recent, and one of the most egregious, examples to the usurpation of federal and state laws by Native Americans

Current Indian policy is only breeding animosity and confusion -- especially in area around tribal lands. We simply cannot allow the current course of action to continue or we risk even greater hostilities.

As you know I am working to inject common sense and fairness into our federal laws dealing with tribes and I sincerely hope the recent compacts Governor Doyle gave Wisconsin tribes will be overturned by the Supreme Court

As always, I appreciate your thoughts and look forward to hearing from you again...Best Regards Mark Green Member of congress---.

Now that I have shared Congressman Green's thoughts with you I would like to share a few thoughts of my own:

Are we to succumb to the creeping cancer of tribal domination here in the US of A? It would appear to be so here in Wisconsin. Witnessed by the way this Governor has succumbed to tribal pressure by establishing Tribal Gambling monopolies for the United Tribes of Wisconsin.

This policy does not stop in Wisconsin, but has spread to many other states, such as California, Washington state, along with Idaho, South and North Dakota, Minnesota, New-York and entire North East coastal section of this country. Federal Indian programs and or policy seem to be like a spreading virus encompassing everything in its path, devouring States rights, and destroying or eroding individuals and state's sovereignty.

We have a constitution, both federal and state that spells out just what the law is. If Governor Doyle continues to refuses to abide by these constitutions we need to follow California's example and start a recall on this Governor...

§§§§§§

Hate Mail

(By Bob Manzke)

This is an e-mail I received last December; it was dumped into the archives under the title of "usual Indian babble speak." Recently while cleaning out the archives I read and re-read this and decided that something this bizarre needs to be responded to with the same abhorrence with which it was written. (I don't have permission to use the senders name so I removed it)

Malcontent's statements

Why is PARR a PROVEN hate Group? We Chippewas know that is is all about jealousy! We all sit here and laugh at your jealousy. No matter what EVER happens, it all comes back on you negatively. You should come on over to the old rez sometime and learn a few things about us. We already know all about you. Come learn about us for once. We all have 2 legs, we all have 1 heart, and we all can get along. Come over sometime and Ill cook my walleye up for you. If fish is such a big issue here. Why then do you guys use motors on wisconsin lakes? That is proven to pollute fish embryos more than anything.

Member Lac du Flambeau Band of Lake Superior Chippewa P/S I thought you guys didn't exist anymore, I see you are far from Northern Wisconsin.

PARR's Response:

Right off the bat, there is a crude attempt at a shock opener with: ***Why is PARR a PROVEN hate Group?*** Immediately we're a proven hate group because we disagree with the tribes. This accusation works well for social dependants, and it's in the same category as racism. The use of these terms guarantees a spot at the taxpayer's trough.

The next claim is jealousy…Jealous of what? Jealous of the average reservation male who avoids the basic male obligation to provide for his family and himself? I find it repugnant for any able bodied male to smugly demand that someone else support him and his family because of their skin color and bestow upon him special privileges for the same reason. (Continued see Malcontent Page 6)

(Malcontent Continued From page 5)

Maybe I should come to the reservation, perhaps it changed since the 1970s when dump trucks full of garbage were seen backed up and dumped it into the river, and in all probability it's as Jack Honrath said the res still looks like the old town dump.

It is suggested I learn about the Indians. I know just about all I care to know. I know that the Indian male was satisfied to leave his female partner do all the work, and to leave the more industrious Europeans take the country away from him.

Personally I just don't have the time or the patience to learn any more about a life style contrary to my upbringing. My heritage dictates that a man's responsibility is to fend for himself and his family, not belly up to the taxpayers trough.

The claim of fish damage by the white-man's use of outboard motors is probably one of the more clumsy attempts to divert fault onto someone else for your actions by accusing them of the very thing you are doing. No rhetoric will justify ***"the path of least resistance"*** method of taking fish, i.e. the spring spearing slaughter.

§§§§§§

Issue Item

Consider this: Indians claim to be "Native Americans" and they also make the claim that they were here first, BEFORE America even existed. So how can they be "Native Americans" if they were here before the Italian merchant and explorer, Amerigo Vespucci, for whom the American continents are named discovered America?

Actually, early explorers gave Indians their name, believing they had discovered shores and easterly peninsulas of Asia!

So who ARE these people? Jeff Benedict in his most recent book, "No Bones Unturned" (an AWESOME read, by the way!), supports the belief of Smithsonian forensic expert, David Owlsley, that descendants of Ainu peo

ple (Asia area) were on this continent thousands of years before "Indians," so Indians could not even be considered indigenous. There were others here first, more aboriginal or indigenous... Or to state things more accurately, there were NO aboriginal or indigenous folks here - everybody came from somewhere else, including Indian tribes...

The most honest definition would be: Tribal members, specifically named by a Tribe's name, such as "Shoshone tribal members, Arapaho Tribal members. As a collective ethnicity, they're sadly misnamed, and there's not an accurate word to define the entire ethnicity. There's only the traditional and familiar term "Indians" that was bestowed upon them by early explorers and discoverers.

§§§§§§

The Power of Indian Gambling Money

(By Bob Manzke Taken in part from an Article that appeared in the Milwaukee Journal/Sentinel Newspaper)

A divided state Elections Board ruled that organizers of the drive to oust state Sen. Gary George from office had gathered enough valid signatures, a move that - barring a legal appeal - sets the stage for a special recall election. State Sen. Gary George turns away from the state Elections Board after speaking on his own behalf. The board threw out more than 900 signatures but decided there were enough valid ones to force a recall election.

The State Elections Board voted that there were enough valid signatures to force a recall election for state Sen. Gary George. The board must now certify the petitions in writing and could set a special election date within 30 days. George could go to court to appeal the board's decision.

The action taken today by the Elections Board ignored the facts and ignored the law. Some of the discussion today was downright bizarre.

In a 6-3 vote at Milwaukee City Hall, the board cast aside 941 questionable signatures but certified 8,750 others. That gave the Committee to Recall Gary George nearly 700 more signatures than needed to force an election.

No date has yet been set for a recall election, but the Elections Board must now certify the petitions in writing and, barring an appeal, could set a special election within 30 days.

Immediately after the meeting, clearly upset by the Elections Board vote, George stopped short of saying if he would challenge the board's certification vote. But it appears the vote could be challenged in court.

George claimed the recall drive was financed and influenced by the Potawatomi tribe and by people who do not live in his district. He also said he was facing recall because he voted with Republicans to override the governor's veto of a bill giving the Legislature control over tribal gaming compacts.

"The action taken today by the Elections Board ignored the facts and ignored the law," he said. "Some of the discussion today was downright bizarre. "I think it's also highly questionable - both the approach that they took and the conclusion that they reached," George added. "I think this vote today is a continuing reflection of the political reality that came from standing up against the gambling interests in this state." Earlier in the day, George asked the board to throw out thousands of signatures that he maintained were either collected by felons or forged. They also claimed that many of the petitions did not clearly state that they were meant to force a recall, which George said was required by state law.

George contended a number of those petitions filed with the state were invalid because they were circulated by felons who had not completed their terms of probation or parole and by homeless volunteers who improperly listed the name of Repairers of the Breach, an advocacy agency for the homeless, as their legal residence.

Jones, who owns the weekly Milwaukee Courier and radio station WNOV-AM (860), has said he organized the recall not only because of George's vote to

(Continued see Power Page 7)

(Power Continued From Page 6)
override Gov. Jim Doyle's veto of legislation to give the Legislature control over gaming compacts but also because of George's record in the district, which Jones charged was abysmal.

Jones began the recall drive by using volunteers, many of them homeless, whom he paid $1 for every signature that they collected.

George told the Elections Board the Potawatomi tribe's lobbyist, former Gov. Martin Schreiber, sent George a note on the Senate floor asking him to call Jones before casting his vote. He told board members he later visited Jones personally and told him he could not support the expansion of gaming because he felt it was not in the best interest of his district or the community. "He told me at the time that if I didn't vote the way he wanted, he would be upset and there would be a consequence for it," George said. "He went so far as to tell me that he had been promised $250,000 over the next two years if I voted his way."I made it clear to him that my vote was not for sale on this issue, and he preceded to initiate the recall."

PARR Ed. Note: The above story appears to be a movie script, but sadly it's fact. It tells the whole sad story about the horrendous result of uncontrolled Indian gambling money.

There can be no doubt that southeastern Wisconsin politics have slithered into the sleaze pool that dwarfs the sleaziest---Chicago.

Why Communism Loves Indian Extremists

(By David Yeagley)

Communism is the religion of envy. It says, "I want what you have. If you have a Cadillac, and I don't, you have denied me, you have wronged me, and you owe me." In the case of the American Indian, it's "I want what you took from me-the land!" That works just as well. Never mind that Indians sold or fought and lost. America "wronged" the Indian. Communism is the religion of hate. It says, "You have made me suffer. I will therefore violently take from you whatever you have that I want." Suits Indian extremists perfectly. Communism redefines words. Envy now means justice. "Justice" means I have a right to have what's yours. "Equality" means I deserve whatever you have.

"Democracy" means the state makes sure I have what you have. Laws must prevent distinguished achievement, first in education, then in business. Communism idolizes material things as the sole expression of value. If one has something valuable, everyone else should have it too, by moral rights. Communists call this "redistribution of wealth." The only reason anyone has a Cadillac in the first place is because he deprived someone else of it, or, he took it from someone else. Communists say they are agents of social change. They present themselves as champions of the poor and oppressed, like Robin Hood, robbing the rich and giving to the poor. Communists appear like apostolic Christians, who believed in sharing everything. No one should have personal property (Acts 4:32). Equality is made as visceral as human flesh, and interracial sex its ultimate expression. We needn't examine every political variant of Communism. The children of Communism are too numerous to tabulate. The discontent of envy can be aroused in almost any identifiable group. All become "oppressed"--ethnic groups, women, children, elderly, handicapped, even animals and plants. Animal rights are equal to human rights. Ecologists put trees before babies. This is all Communism in principle. Communism wants to destroy the achievements of the white male, and to subjugate him to all that he has had dominion over.

Feminism envies the strength of the male, and seeks to deny it. The male represents an inequality which Communism cannot tolerate. Feminists hate American Indian warrior images, and want them all removed. This is also why Xena: Warrior Princess was such hit with women. She could defeat men.

In the name of Communist equality, you must devote your life to bringing down the achievers. This is the sinister element of envy, to destroy those who have more than you have, because you hate them for having more. Communism is "progressive," but like a parasite, lives off the achievements of others. Communists are agents of destruction and social dissolution, preparing for tyrants like Stalin, Pol Pot, or Mao Tse-tung. Anarchy precedes tyranny. The Black Book of Communism (Harvard, 1999) exposes all. Communist regimes have slaughtered more than 100 million civilians in the twentieth century. Communism is a moral imperative without morality. Communism is like Christianity without Christ, a quick-fix morality, requiring no personal standards, but offering the high social status of reforming others-through coercion. Communism appears to advocate the best for all, but actually denies the best to all, namely individual freedom, independence, and opportunity to advance. Communism, like its relative, radical Islam, is just another pioy to give a few megalomaniacs power over the masses. Communism uses any available "victims" for revolution. Among ethnic groups, the American Indian is the prime candidate. The Indian appears to have the strongest moral basis for protest toward America. Therefore Communists early put Indians on Communist warpath. This is how the American Indian Movement (AIM) was born. Kenneth Stern early advocated violence as AIM's tool for social change. Of course, AIM is silent about its Communist origins, and many AIM members today don't realize the connections. Douglass Duhrarn, an early FBI infiltrator of AIM., is denounced by modern AIMsters, but he revealed much of their Communist base. Indian casinos are advocated as means to financial independence for Indian tribes, but instead they are havens for illegal trafficking of drugs, guns, money, and people--a Communist's dream. Communism simply found a new mascot in the American Indian. Communists counted coup. Anti-Americanism was never so validated as

(Continued See Communism Page 8)

(Communism Continued From page 7)
when Indians stood up to protest. But Communism is not just the enemy of America. Communism is the enemy of man. All ethnic groups should be aware that Communism uses them all as anti-American "mascots," all dancing for the death of their own freedom.

§§§§§§

Common Sense Deceased

Today we mourn the passing of an old friend, by the name of Common Sense.

Common Sense lived a long life but died recently in the United States. No one really knows how old he was, since his birth records were long ago lost in bureaucratic red tape. He selflessly devoted his life to service in schools, hospitals, homes, and factories helping folks get jobs done without fanfare and foolishness. For decades, petty rules, silly laws, and frivolous lawsuits held no power over Common Sense. He was credited with cultivating such valued lessons as to know when to come in out of the rain, why the early bird gets the worm, and that life isn't always fair.

Common Sense lived by simple, sound financial policies (don't spend more than you earn), reliable parenting strategies (the adults are in charge, not the kids), and it's okay to come in second. A veteran of the Industrial Revolution, the Great Depression, and the Technological Revolution, Common Sense survived cultural and educational trends including body piercing, whole language, and "new math." His health declined when he became infected with the "If-it-only-helps-one-person-it's-worth-it" virus. In recent decades his waning strength proved no match for the ravages of well-intentioned but overbearing regulations. He watched in pain as good people became ruled by self-seeking lawyers. His health rapidly deteriorated when schools endlessly implemented zero-tolerance policies. Reports of a six-year-old boy charged with sexual harassment for kissing a classmate, a teen suspended for taking a swig of mouthwash after lunch, and a teacher fired for reprimanding an unruly student only worsened his condition. It declined even further when schools had to get parental consent to administer aspirin to a student but could not inform the parent when a female student was pregnant or wanted an abortion.

Finally, Common Sense lost his will to live as the Ten Commandments became contraband. Churches became businesses, criminals received better treatment than victims, and federal judges stuck their noses in everything from the Boy Scouts to professional sports.

Finally, when people, too stupid to realize that a steaming cup of coffee was hot, were awarded a huge settlement, Common Sense threw in the towel.

As the end neared, Common Sense drifted in and out of logic but was kept informed of developments regarding questionable regulations such as those for low flow toilets, rocking chairs, and stepladders.

Common Sense was preceded in death by his parents, Truth and Trust; his wife, Discretion; his daughter, Responsibility; and his son, Reason. He is survived by two step siblings: My Rights, and Ima Whiner. Not many attended his funeral because so few realized that Common Sense was gone.

§§§§§§

Feds Try to Settle Missouri River Conflict

By Bob Manzke With parts taken from an Associated Press Article

The federal government asked a federal appeals court for help in resolving conflicting orders about the Missouri River that has led one judge to threaten daily fines of $500,000 unless water levels are lowered for the protection of endangered birds and fish.

The Army Corps of Engineers issued the ultimatum to drop water levels or pay the money for each day it disobeys the order by U.S. District Judge Gladys Kessler in Washington. The agency contends it also is bound by an earlier ruling from a federal court in Nebraska to provide enough water for barge shipments.

U. S. District Judge Laurie Smith Camp refused Wednesday to modify her ruling from last year, which the corps said it needed to be able to comply with Kessler's order.

After Smith Camp's decision, the government appealed to the 8th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals, which includes Nebraska, and asked for an emergency stay to avoid being held in contempt of Kessler's order from Tuesday. The government also asked Kessler to reconsider her contempt finding and sought to stay the penalties.

``We continue to believe as a legal matter that Judge Kessler is wrong," said Blain Rethmeier, a spokesman for the Justice Department, which is defending the corps. ``With today's actions, we continue to exercise all of our good faith options to avoid being held in contempt."

The Nebraska judge encouraged the government to appeal to the 8th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals. The corps filed the appeal late Tuesday along with a request for an emergency stay to avoid being held in contempt.

Kessler acknowledged in her ruling that ``a conflict may exist" with the Nebraska court, but she said a conflict does not excuse the agency from obeying her order.

The legal maneuvering could set the stage for intervention from the Supreme Court, with the government possibly asking Chief Justice William H. Rehnquist to block Kessler's order. He handles emergency matters involving the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit.

Kessler threatened to impose ``more draconian contempt remedies," which could include jail time, if river depths are not reduced by July 31. Her ruling came in a case brought by several conservation groups suing to force lower Missouri River flows.

At issue is the Endangered Species Act and whether it takes priority over barge shipping, flood control and other

(Continued See Conflict Page 9)

(Conflict Continued From Page 8)
uses of the river.

Smith Camp, who was appointed by President Bush, said she is bound to follow precedent in the 8th Circuit that maintaining minimum levels for shipping trumps the protection of wildlife and other interest.

Kessler ordered water levels dropped July 12 in an injunction she granted the conservation groups. The groups want the Missouri to ebb and flow more naturally to encourage spawning and nesting to help sturgeon and shorebird species on the government's threatened and endangered lists.

Barge and farming interests say the corps has an obligation to provide enough water for barge shipments. The reductions in Kessler's order would halt navigation on the Missouri, dropping depths at Kansas City, Mo., from about 14 feet to eight feet - too shallow for barges carrying grain and other cargo to the Mississippi River at St. Louis.

Judge Gladys Kessler Judge Kessler was appointed to the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in July 1994.

PARR Ed Note: Judge Kessler was appointed to the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in July 1994. A Slick Willie appointee, joining the ranks of activist judges, like Barbara Crabb. This is the same judge that ordered the release of the 9/11 detainee's names. This is why the Democrats are fighting so hard to stop Bush's appointees to the federal Courts. The want more activist judges, to legislate the fat they can't get through congress, from the bench.

§§§§§§

DNR Shafts Bow hunters

(Parts taken from a Journal/Sentinel Article)

Bowhunters who figured they could once again set out piles of feed to attract deer after a legislative committee axed a baiting and feeding ban are now in a quandary. They put out piles of corn and other food on their land only to find out this week that baiting will not be allowed in 22 counties. Some are so incensed they're calling for a boycott of the gun-deer season in November.

"Now we're shafted because (you) can't legally hunt over (your) bait pile for 10 days," said Tom Halverson, co-founder of Concerned Hunters of Wisconsin. "Well, that means (we) can't hunt opening day."

Todd Mascaretti, who hunts in Marquette County, said many of his neighbors have already set out bait piles for the opening of bow season, and they're wondering if they'll get $517 tickets for having an illegal bait pile.

"Just about everyone around here will be affected by this," said Mascaretti, a Marquette County representative on the Conservation Congress.

Mascaretti and Halverson are organizing the gun-season boycott and are encouraging hunters to send their back tags or back tag holders to legislators. (Deer hunters are required to wear their licenses attached to their backs.) Mascaretti sent eight back tags to Rep. Glenn Grothman (R-West Bend).

The Natural Resources Board approved an emergency order banning baiting and feeding of deer in 22 counties, mostly in southern Wisconsin, to curb the transmission of chronic wasting disease in the wild white-tailed deer herd. The temporary ban goes into effect today. Baiting and feeding are legal in the rest of the state.

Also the DNR said resident archery license sales are 4% higher than last year at this time, when the number of licenses dropped sharply because of chronic wasting disease concerns and a ban on baiting. Resident gun-deer licenses are 6% ahead of sales a year ago.

Parr Ed Note: PARR really doesn't want to take sides in this baiting issue, but we felt that this latest disregard for hunters needs to be addressed. It looks like Navajo Jim's DNR is having an attack of arrogance. Shutting off the baiting which is a basic ingredient of Bow Hunting after the licenses are bought, and shortly before the season starts.

Out of the Mouths of Babes

A 5-year old boy went to visit his grandmother. One day he was playing with his toys in her bedroom while grandma was dusting furniture, he looked up and said, "Grandma, how come you don't have a boyfriend?" Grandma replied, "Honey, my TV is my boyfriend. I can set in my bedroom and watch it all day long. The TV evangelists keep me company and make me feel so good. The comedies make me laugh. I'm so happy with my TV as my boyfriend." Grandma turned on the TV and the picture was horrible. She started adjusting the knobs trying to get the picture in focus.

Frustrated, she started hitting on the backside of the TV hoping to fix the problem. The little boy heard the doorbell ring so he hurried to open the door. When he opened the door, there stood Grandma's minister.

The minister said, "Hello son is your grandma home?" The little boy replied, "Yea, she's in the bedroom banging' her boyfriend

§§§§§§

Issue Item

There is a merry family gathering with all generations around the table. The little children (naughty little rascals) smuggle a Viagra tablet into Grandpa's drink.

After a while, Grandpa excuses himself because he has to go the bathroom. When he returns, however, his trousers are wet all over "What happened, Grandpa?" he is asked by his concerned children. "Well," he answers, "I had to go to the bathroom. So I took it out, but I saw that it wasn't mine, so I put it back"

§§§§§§

Watch for the next ARGU, which will contain the results of the September 14th General Membership Meeting.