



ARGU

Published by Protect Americans' Rights & Resources to maintain an informed membership

AMERICAN RIGHTS GUARDIAN UPDATE

VOLUME 10 NUMBER 5 WINTER 2008

The only printed voice of opposition to Federal
Government Indian Policy / Programs in Wisconsin



Election Analysis:

America Can Take Pride in This Historic, Inspirational *turn of events*. Although I have not always been the most outspoken advocate of President-Elect Barack Obama *and excluding any thoughts about his politics*, today I would like to congratulate him and add my voice to the millions of fellow citizens who are celebrating his historic and frightening election victory. I don't care whether you are a conservative or a liberal -- when you saw this inspiring young [man of color] rise to our nation's highest office I hope you felt the same sense of patriotic pride that I experienced, no matter how hard you were hyperventilating with deep existential dread.

Yes, I know there are probably other African-Americans much better qualified and prepared for the presidency. Much, much better qualified. Hundreds, easily, if not thousands, and without any troubling ties to radical lunatics and Chicago mobsters. Gary Coleman comes to mind. But let's not let that distract us from the fact that Mr. Obama's election represents a profound, positive milestone in our country's struggle to overcome its long legacy of racial divisions and bigotry.

It reminds us of how far we've come, and it's something everyone in our nation should celebrate in whatever little time we now have left. Less than

fifty years ago, African-Americans were barred from public universities, restaurants, and even drinking fountains in many parts of the country. On Tuesday we came together and transcended that shameful legacy, electing a [man of color] to the country's top job -- which, in fact, appears to be his first actual job. Certainly, it doesn't mean that racism has disappeared in America, but it is an undeniable mark of progress that a majority of voters no longer consider skin color or a dangerously gullible naiveté as a barrier to the presidency.

It's also heartening to realize that as President Mr. Obama will soon be working hand-in-hand with a former Ku Klux Klan Grand Wizard like Senator Robert Byrd to craft the incoherent and destructive programs that will plunge the American economy into a nightmare of full-blown sustained depression. As Vice President-Elect Joe Biden has repeatedly warned, there will be difficult times ahead and the programs will not always be popular, or even sane.

But as we look out over the wreckage of bankrupt coal companies, nationalized banks, and hyperinflation, we can always look back with sustained pride on the great National Reconciliation of 2008. Call me an optimist, but I like to think when America's bread lines erupt into riots it will be because of our shared starvation, not the differences in our color.

It's obvious that this newfound pride is not confined to Americans alone. All across the world, Mr. Obama's election has helped mend America's tattered image as a racist, violent cowboy, willing to retaliate with bombs at the slightest provocation. The huge outpouring of international support following the election shows that America can still win new friendships while rebuilding its old ones, and provides Mr. Obama with unprecedented diplomatic leverage over our remaining enemies.

When Russian tanks start pouring into eastern Europe and Iranian missiles begin raining down on Jerusalem, their leaders will know they will be facing a man who not only conquered America's racial divide but the hearts of the entire Cannes film community. And those Al Qaeda terrorists plotting a dirty nuke or chemical attack on San Francisco face a stark new reality: while they may no longer need to worry about US Marines, they are looking down the barrel of a strongly worded diplomatic condemnation by a Europe fully united in their deep sympathy for surviving Americans.

So for now, let's put politics aside and celebrate this historic milestone. In his famous speech at the Lincoln Memorial 45 years ago, Dr. King said "I have a dream that one day my children will live in a nation where they will not be judged by the color of their skin, but by the content of their character." Let us now take pride that Tuesday we Americans proved that neither thing matters anymore.

White Guilt is Dead

By Tom Adkins

It's about time this phony PC mantle is lifted. Look at my fellow conservatives! There they go, glumly shuffling along, depressed by the election aftermath. Not me. I'm virtually euphoric. Don't get me wrong. I'm not thrilled with America's flirtation with neo socialism.

But there's a massive silver lining in those magical clouds that lofted Barak Obama to the Presidency. For today, without a shred of intellectually legitimate opposition, I can loudly proclaim to America:

The Era of White Guilt is over. This seemingly impossible event occurred because the vast majority of white Americans didn't give a fluff about skin color, and enthusiastically pulled the voting lever for a black man. Not just any black man. A very liberal black man who spent his early career race-hustling banks, praying in a racist church for 20 years, and actively worked with America-hating domestic terrorists. Wow! Some resume! Yet they made Barak Obama their leader.

Therefore, as of Nov 4th, 2008, white guilt is dead. For over a century, the millstone of white guilt hung around our necks, retribution for slave-owning predecessors. In the 60s, American liberals began yanking that millstone while sticking a fork in the eye of black Americans, exacerbating the racial divide to extort a socialist solution.

But if a black man can become President, exactly what significant barrier is left? The election of Barak Obama absolutely destroys the entire validation of liberal white guilt. The dragon is hereby slain.

So today, I'm feeling a little "up-pity," if you will. From this day forward, my tolerance level for having my skin color hustled is now exactly ZERO. And it's time to clean house. No more Reverend Wright's "God Damn America," Al Sharpton's Church of Perpetual Victimization, or Jesse Jackson's rainbow racism.

Cornell West? You're a fraud. Go home. All those "black studies" programs that taught kids to hate whitey? You must now thank Whitey. And I want that on the final.

Congressional Black Caucus? Irrelevant. Maxine Waters? Shut up. ACORN? Outlawed. Black Panthers? Go home and pet your kitty. Black separatists? Find another nation that offers better dreams. Go ahead. I'm waiting.

Gangsta rappers? Start praising America. Begin with the Pledge of Allegiance. And please...no more ebonics. Speak English, and who knows where you might end up? Oh, yeah...pull up your pants. Your underwear is showing. You look stupid.

To those Eurosnots who forged entire careers hating America? I'm still waiting for the first black French President. And let me offer an equal opportunity whupping. I've always despised lazy white people. Now, I can talk smack about lazy black people. You're poor because you quit school, did drugs, had three kids with three different fathers, and refuse to work. So when you plop your Colt 45-swilling, Oprah watchin' butt on the couch and complain "Da Man is keepin' me down," allow me to inform you: Da Man is now black. You have no excuses. No more quotas. No more handouts. No more stealing my money because someone's great-great-great-grandparents suffered actual pain and misery at the hands of people I have no relation to, and personally revile.

It's time to toss that massive, obsolete race-hustle machine upon the heap of the other stupid 60s ideas. Drag it over there, by wife swapping, next to dope-smoking. Plenty of room right between free love and cop-killing. Careful...don't trip on streaking. There ya go, don't be gentle. Just dump it. Wash your hands. It's filthy.

In fact, Obama's ascension created a gargantuan irony. How can you sell class envy and American unfairness when you and your black wife went to Ivy League schools, got high-paying jobs, became millionaires, bought a mansion, and got elected President? How unfair is that???

Now, like a delicious O'Henry tale, Obama's spread-the-wealth campaign rendered itself moot by its

own victory! America is officially a meritocracy.

Obama's election has validated American conservatism! So, listen carefully...Wham!!! That's the sound of my foot kicking the door shut on the era of white guilt.

The rites have been muttered, the carcass lowered, dirt shoveled, and tombstone erected. White guilt is dead and buried. However, despite my glee, there's apparently one small, rabid bastion of American racism remaining. Black Americans voted 96% for Barak Obama.

Hmmm. In a color-blind world, shouldn't that be 50-50?

Tonight, every black person should ask forgiveness for their apparent racism and prejudice towards white people. Maybe it's time to start spreading the guilt around.

"Duty is ours, results are God's" - John Quincy Adams.

PARR Ed Note: Seems that blacks aren't the only ones that have used the white bleeding heart guilt. The Indian Industry has played whitey like a Stradivarius for decades. Case in point #1: In a last-ditch effort to keep alive its six-year bid to open an off-reservation casino in Kenosha, the Menominee tribe asked a federal judge to prevent the Department of Interior from acting on its application to open a gambling hall in Dairyland Greyhound Park.

In effect, the northern Wisconsin tribe is betting it has a better chance of winning under an **Obama administration** than it would under the Bush administration. Tribal officials have said they expect Interior Secretary Dirk Kempthorne to reject their application.

The lawsuit filed in U.S. District Court in Green Bay asks that the department be enjoined from acting on the application and that new rules governing approval of off-reservation casinos be stricken from the books.

The hopes of winning approval from the Bush administration dimmed in January when the federal government imposed the new rules and rejected 11 applications for gambling halls, including two filed by Wisconsin tribes. Among other

(Continues see Guilt Page 3)

(Guilt Continued From Page 2)

things, the new rules dictated that the farther a new casino is from the reservation, the tougher it would be to win approval. The Menominee reservation is located near Keshena, about 200 miles from the proposed casino site.

Case in point #2: Ending four years of impasse, state government and Ho-Chunk Tribe leaders agreed on a **new 25-year gaming compact** that requires a tribal payment of \$60 million to the state to settle old claims.

That's **\$12 million less** than what state officials had argued the tribe owed through June 30, 2008, under the first compact negotiated after Gov. Jim Doyle took office in 2003. *Strains of that beautiful Stradivarius music are heard here. The state sure got played this time.*

State officials said the new compact will also stipulate that annual tribal payments will be 5% if the tribe's gross revenue is less than \$350 million from its casino operations, and 5.5% if that revenue exceeds \$350 million. **What happened to the interest on \$60 million for four years?**

Both percentages are less than the 6% required under the 2003 agreement with the tribe. That lower percentage will cost the state \$3 million or more a year.

By comparison, annual payments set by separate compacts require the Forest County Potawatomi tribe to pay 6.5%; and the Oneida tribe, 4.5% up to revenue of \$350 million and 5.5% above that.

About \$1.5 million of the \$60 million Ho-Chunk payment will be used to pay the state's legal costs. Doyle hired former state Democratic Party Chairman Matthew Flynn as legal counsel in the matter. *Well isn't that cozy Chief Doyle's side kick got to dip into the bucket to the tune of \$1.5million.*

The tribe operates casinos in Baraboo, Black River Falls and Neokosa, and a bingo hall in Madison. The tribe also runs a satellite gaming hall in Tomah and is planning to open a similar facility in Wittenberg next month. **What ever happened to the State and federal laws that limit casinos to reservations?**

With the Ho-Chunk deal, the state now has agreements with the three tribes that account for the vast majority of casino revenue. The state has deals with seven of the eight other tribes, but has long been stalled over negotiations with the Lac du Flambeau tribe.

Well you get the idea; the white man's guilt trip is still paying off.

§§§§§§

State liberals killing Election Process

By Mark Belling

November 5, 2008...2008 has turned out to be the year that the ultimate American governing principle of free and fair elections **was officially killed in Wisconsin.** We've been headed in this sick direction for about a decade but this year is the one in which a successful multi-front assault on free campaigning and voting was launched. A ruling last weekend by a **tinhorn judge in western Wisconsin** was the clincher. It took the totalitarian cake.

The "judge," a Jackson County hack named Thomas Lister, actually ordered a conservative group to stop running radio ads about a key race for the state Assembly without even allowing the group to present its side of the story. It is the first time in American **HISTORY** that an American court silenced political speech in advance. It had never happened ever anywhere in America until last Friday in the kangaroo courtroom of a liberal Wisconsin clown. It was the coup in a de grace of a year in Wisconsin.

All over the state, for months, two sinister organizations have been conducting "voter" registration drives in which an astonishingly high percentage of the supposed voters have turned out to be non-existent people. Nearly 50 members of ACORN and its sister group have been referred for criminal prosecution in Wisconsin alone but the Milwaukee County DA has gotten around to charging only a few.

While this systematic polluting of state voter rolls has been going on, the agency charged with policing elections in Wisconsin - ironically called the Government Accountability Board - has refused to enforce a

federal law aimed at preventing such wholesale voter registrations. Not satisfied with ignoring federal law on its own, the board, appointed entirely by Democratic Gov. Jim Doyle, took the further step of ordering local city and village clerks to not conduct the checks on their own. Not surprisingly in this disgrace of a political year, **not a single Wisconsin clerk chose to follow federal law.**

In the meantime, tens of thousands were allowed to "advance vote" all over the state without having to provide any proof that were who said they were. This opened the door for massive identity theft and allowed all of the non-existent people whose names were put on the rolls by ACORN to vote. What a state, what a concept: no man, one vote.

A Democratic state senator from Milwaukee named Lena Taylor on Saturday even went down to the advance voting line to campaign to the masses waiting to vote. Of course, it's been illegal for a century to "electioneer" at Wisconsin polling places, but the law and basic democratic decency have been abandoned in this state. Taylor obviously knows the law and obviously knew nothing would happen to her beyond being told by the cops to take her corrupt butt home.

There is no verification of voter identity at the polls, of course, because Wisconsin Democrats have repeatedly killed legislation that would have imposed the minimal requirement of showing photo identification. This is part of their pattern of election stealing. Four years ago, employees of John Kerry's campaign slashed the tires of vans that were to be used for an Election Day Republican voter mobilization. The tire slashers included the sons of a local congresswoman and the Milwaukee mayor. Four years earlier a top New York Democratic fundraiser was caught bribing street bums in front of the rescue mission with cigarettes in exchange for Al Gore votes. That was the same year a Chicago university dean and would-be Wisconsin legislative candidate was caught voting in both states on the same day.

In front of everyone, liberals have conspired to steal Wisconsin's 2008 elections. They succeeded.

§§§§§§

Snippet

A sweet old lady telephoned St. Joseph's Hospital. She timidly asked, "Is it possible to speak to someone who can tell me how a patient is doing?" The operator said, "I can, what's the name and room number?"

The old lady in her weak voice said, "Norma Findlay, Room 302." The operator replied, "Let me place you on hold while I check with her nurse."

After a few minutes the operator returned to the phone and said, "Oh, I have good news, her nurse just told me that Norma is doing very well. Her blood pressure is fine; her blood work just came back as normal and her physician, Dr. Cohen, has scheduled her to be discharged on Tuesday."

The old lady said, "Thank you. That's wonderful! I was so worried! God bless you!" The operator replied, "You're more than welcome. Is Norma your daughter?" The grandmother said, "No, I'm Norma Findlay in 302. No one tells me shit.

§§§§§§

Phony Agenda

By Bob Manzke

Are liberals so threatened that they're plotting and planning right now, at this very minute, to silence conservative thoughts and opinions from the public airwaves? Do liberals actually believe that conservative opinions are pornography? You be the judge.

FOX News asked Senator Chuck Schumer if liberals in Congress will try to implement the so-called Fairness Doctrine - a measure specifically designed to shut down Rush Limbaugh, Sean Hannity, Laura Ingraham and conservative talk radio.

Schumer replied indignantly: "Do you think we should allow people to put pornography on the air? Absolutely not. Particularly on television or radio." Huh? No, it's **NOT** a joke! And he didn't stop there. Schumer nastily admonished decent Americans for some imagined hypocrisy: "The very same people who don't want the Fairness Doctrine want the [Federal Communications Commission] to limit pornography on the air... That's not consistent."

Page 4

It's almost beyond belief. We couldn't have made it up!

Is Schumer so unhinged, that he's not even capable of understanding the fundamental difference between smut and political expression?

Craig Parshall, general counsel for the National Religious Broadcasters said:

"I was stunned by Senator Schumer's suggestion that by keeping filth off the air, the federal government has somehow become empowered to take over the control of legitimate programming content of broadcasters."

But Parshall missed one very important point. With control of the White House, the Senate and the House of Representatives, Schumer and his liberal colleagues are twisting the First Amendment's guarantees of Freedom of Speech and of the Press -- **ALL TO SET THE STAGE TO SILENCE RUSH, HANNITY AND OTHERS.**

If you're a liberal, you can burn the flag, put four-letter-word bumper stickers on your car, commit lewd acts on-stage, play profane rap music loudly on your car radio, produce, rent and distribute the most disgusting filth in broad daylight and on the Internet in plain view of minors and even use taxpayer dollars to depict Jesus suspended in bodily waste.

But when it comes to shutting down conservative thoughts and opinions, now that they have grabbed the reins of power, liberals are making control over the content of speech a top priority! They're plotting and maneuvering behind the scenes to make it happen right now! Peter Kirsanow writing in National Review Online tells us why this fight is urgent:

"Imposition of some form of the Fairness Doctrine likely will be one of the Democrats' agenda items for the first 100 days of the new administration. It's important that conservatives begin working now to stop it."

Kirsanow went on: "Waiting until Inauguration Day to get geared up is too late. By that time the Fairness Doctrine Express will be at full steam, wavering Democrats will be pressed to support the new Democratic president, weak-kneed Republicans will want to display comity, the

mainstream media will not be saddened to see talk radio annihilated and much of the public will be too enraptured by Obama's Camelot inauguration to notice or care."

PARR Ed Note: For those who aren't aware of how the "Fairness Doctrine" works let me explain: For instance let's say Rush Limbaugh is on a certain station for 3 hours, for **balance** the fairness doctrine forces this station to air some liberal hack (that no one listens to) for three hours. If no one listens to them there are no sponsors, with no sponsors the radio station will go broke in short order. The station has no choice but to cancel Rush, to get rid of the no-sponsor liberal hack. The same holds true with religious broadcast. With one marauder the apparent atheist left will be able to get rid of Christianity and all opposing views. There are several Christian networks on TV and many independent TV stations broadcast religious services. Many shut-ins depend on these TV broadcast to attend church services. Under the **Fairness Doctrine** these stations will have to provide equal time to opposing points of view. This will be an ideal way for a Muslim to destroy Christianity...Think about it!!! One has to be distrustful of any group that goes to such great lengths to hide their agenda.

§§§§§§

Poll: Few Americans Worried About Global Warming

Only 1 in 4 Americans believes global warming is the biggest environmental challenge facing the world, a new poll reveals.

The ABC News/Planet Green/Stanford University survey found that public concern over the global warming issue has diminished over the past year.

Fewer than half of the poll's respondents, 47 percent, think global warming is an important issue to them personally, down from 52 percent in April 2007.

While 80 percent believe the earth is warming, that figure is down four percentage points from last year.

Doubts over the science behind the global warming issue still linger

(Continued See Warming Page 5)

(Warming Continued From Page 4)

in people's minds, according to the poll results reported by the National Journal. Just 30 percent of respondents said they trust what scientists have to say about the environment "completely" or "a lot," 39 percent said they trust them "a moderate amount," and 30 percent said they do not trust them.

Also, nearly 60 percent of respondents said there is "a lot of disagreement" within the scientific community as to how dangerous climate change is.

But 63 percent are in favor of drilling for oil in coastal waters where it is currently not allowed, and 55 percent support drilling in U.S. wilderness areas where it is not allowed.

Apparently the campaign to keep the Global Warming fat cow alive is weaning. It will probably end up on the junk heap with all the other eco-freaks gimmicks, new ice age, ozone depletion, etc. Perhaps, the country will be able to harvest (without interference) the vast wealth in recently discovered vast oil reserves that are technically recoverable oil resources are those producible using currently available technology and industry practices. U.S. Geological Survey is the only provider of publicly available estimates of undiscovered technically recoverable oil and gas resources.

New geologic models applied to the Bakken Formation, advances in drilling and production technologies, and recent oil discoveries have resulted in these substantially larger technically recoverable oil volumes. About 105 million barrels of oil were produced from the Bakken Formation by the end of 2007.

The USGS Bakken study was undertaken as part of a nationwide project assessing domestic petroleum basins using standardized methodology and protocol as required by the Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 2000.

The Bakken Formation estimate is larger than all other current USGS oil assessments of the lower 48 states and is the largest "continuous" oil accumulation ever assessed by the USGS. A "continuous" oil accumulation means that the oil
Page 5

resource is dispersed throughout a geologic formation rather than existing as discrete, localized occurrences. The next largest "continuous" oil accumulation in the U.S. is in the Austin Chalk of Texas and Louisiana, with an undiscovered estimate of 1.0 billions of barrels of technically recoverable oil.

The USGS estimate of 3.0 to 4.3 billion barrels of technically recoverable oil has a mean value of 3.65 billion barrels. Scientists conducted detailed studies in stratigraphy and structural geology and the modeling of petroleum geochemistry. They also combined their findings with historical exploration and production analyses to determine the undiscovered, technically recoverable oil estimates.

USGS worked with the North Dakota Geological Survey, a number of petroleum industry companies and independents, universities and other experts to develop a geological understanding of the Bakken Formation. These groups provided critical information and feedback on geological and engineering concepts important to building the geologic and production models used in the assessment.

§§§§§

Handgun Purchases in Wisconsin Skyrocketed 82%

Gleaned from Articles Appearing in the Milwaukee Journal Sentinel & the Lakeland Times

Glaring headlines in the Milwaukee Journal Sentinel: Handgun purchases in Wisconsin skyrocketed 82%. Handgun purchases in Wisconsin skyrocketed 82% in the days after Barack Obama's election as president compared with the same 13 days in November last year, figures on state background checks show.

Gun owners are worried that with Obama's radical agenda, the only way to accomplish it is to disarm the citizens. This is not to be looked at lightly, considering his 100% anti Gun record. They also fear that he might push for tax increases on ammunition and gun accessories, what they described as a back-door way to bring about gun control.

President Bush has left our guns alone, in other words. Despite a Democratic Congress, liberal anti-

gun nuts have made not one single attempt to take our firearms away. As Dan Rather might say: Zero. Zip. Nada. That's because they knew the president would veto any anti-gun legislation, and they didn't have the votes to override him.

What a difference from the likes of Bill Clinton and Al Gore. Remember them? Mr. Gore, during his presidential campaign, flirted with the idea of national handgun registration, and the Clinton-Gore administration itself campaigned to convince federal judges to impose judgments amounting to billions of dollars against the firearms industry, all in an effort to bring the industry to its knees

Gun owners and Second Amendment supporters need to be very clear about one thing: Barack Obama would be the most radical anti-gun president ever, and, if he is elected, he will absolutely try to take your guns and your gun rights away.

For one thing, the Congress and the president could enact legislation placing so many obstacles in front of potential gun owners, and making it so onerously expensive to actually own a gun, that the practical effect would be a gun ban for most Americans, even if no specific law strayed outside the perimeter of constitutional protection.

Sen. Obama has supported precisely such legislation in the past. As an Illinois state senator in 1999, for instance, he supported increasing the federal excise tax on firearms by 500 percent, which would have made gun ownership too expensive for many Americans. That same year, he supported banning the operation of gun stores within five miles of a school or park. Such a wide zone of exclusion would to all intents and purposes ban all gun stores in most major metropolitan areas and their suburbs, and, in fact, in many small towns.

In addition, he supported reinstating President Clinton's ban on semi-automatic weapons and magazines. That might sound reasonable to those unfamiliar with these firearms, but in truth it would ban all single-shot and double-barreled shotguns 28 gauge or larger, and hundreds of models of rifles and

(Continued See Guns Page 6)

(Guns Continued From Page 5)

handguns.

And, if you can't ban guns, why not ban ammunition as the next best thing? That's exactly Sen. Obama's position. In 2005, the Democratic nominee voted for a measure pushed by Sen. Edward M. Kennedy to prohibit virtually all center-fire ammunition used for hunting or, for that matter, any other reason.

As anyone can see, there are many ways to attempt to ban gun ownership and possession without actually prohibiting it outright. And that's what Sen. Obama has done time and again, in both the Illinois state Senate and in the U.S. Senate.

Congress aside, the Supreme Court itself is likely to change, and the landmark gun rights' decision could be overturned. After all, that case was decided on a 5-4 vote, and the next president could get as many as three new Supreme Court picks. There's no telling how the composition of the court will change over the next four years.

Because the make-up of the High Court is so important. Yesterday's landmark decisions could, by another court, suddenly become today's discarded paper trash, thrown out as quickly as a sandwich wrapper.

If that happens, the Obama administration could eat your exposed lunch, that is to say, your suddenly very vulnerable guns.

That returns us to my initial assertion - that, given the proper congressional make-up, given the proper Supreme Court membership, a President Obama and his cohorts would absolutely try to take our guns and our gun rights away.

§§§§§§

Very Confusing

By Greg Graunke

Last summer I received the following letter (*in Italics*) from Matthew J. Frank secretary of the Wisconsin DNR. Recently I read a direct contradiction of Mr. Frank's letter in the Lakeland Times. The text after the italics is taken from a recent article in the Lakeland Times newspaper: *Dear Mr. Graunke: I am responding to your letter addressing PARR's Concerns with a recent change in state law (2007 Wisconsin Act 27) which* Page 6

authorizes wardens of the Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission (GLIFWC) to enforce certain state laws. GLIFWC serves as an intertribal entity to ensure fair, uniform and effective enforcement of the Chippewa off-reservation conservation codes and rights. GLIFWC wardens coordinate closely with Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources wardens who are also authorized to enforce tribal off-reservation conservation codes. In order to enforce state law pursuant to 2007 Wisconsin Act 27, GLIFWC wardens must receive the same training and certification as state police officers. You assert that this act delegates state authority to a non-state entity without sufficient controls. However the legislature has specifically defined the appropriate context in which GLIFWC can act. The bill provides GLIFWC wardens with limited arrest and assistance authority in only three specific situations: 1) an emergency situation that poses a significant threat to life or of bodily harm; 2) acts that the warden has reasonable suspicion to believe constitute a felony; or 3) at the request of another law enforcement agency. GLIFWC will be required to promptly call the agency (state or local police) with primary jurisdiction in order to turn the matter over to that agency at the earliest possible opportunity.

*GLIFWC is also required to develop specific policies for law enforcement interaction. Regarding your liability concerns, GLIFWC is responsible for any of its wardens exercising authority under the act and must provide liability insurance protections. See Wis. Stats. Sec. 175.41(4). GLIFWC must maintain liability insurance of not less than \$2,000,000 for any occurrence, and provide proof of that insurance to the Department of Justice, See Wis. Stats. Sec. 175.41 (3)(e). The insurer, in defending a claim against the policy, **may not raise the defense of sovereign immunity of the insured** (GLIFWC and its wardens) up to the limit of the policy. Therefore, GLIFWC through its insurance carrier is responsible for lawsuits concerning impermissible acts of authority by any of its wardens. Finally) your letter questions whether lawsuits against GLIFWC wardens would have to be brought in*

tribal court. As noted in the February 11, 2008 letter from Assistant Attorney General Thomas Bellavia to you, the court of appropriate jurisdiction may have to be determined on a case by case basis.

If you have any further questions on this matter, feel free to contact Attorney Michael Lutz of my staff Sincerely, Matthew J. Frank Secretary

Lakeland Times Report

Police reports and records related to arrests and other law enforcement actions by tribal police officers outside the borders of their reservations against nontribal citizens are not available for public inspection under the state's open records laws, the attorney general's office told The Lakeland Times late last week.

The Times had posed the question to the Wisconsin Department of Justice after some citizens raised concerns about their ability to access police and incident records if they were ticketed or arrested in Oneida County by a Lac du Flambeau police department officer.

In an Oct. 3 letter to the newspaper, assistant attorney general Mary Burke said tribal police departments could not be compelled to comply with the open records law or **to waive tribal immunity** from lawsuits to enforce that law.

Under certain conditions, state statutes give tribal police officers the ability to enforce state laws outside their territorial jurisdiction. An attorney general's opinion on Oct. 1 that the state's tribes cannot participate in mutual aid calls between law enforcement agencies has called the reach of that ability into question, though undoubtedly tribal peace officers can act outside their jurisdiction in particular situations.

What Burke's interpretation means is, many of the same law enforcement arrest reports that would be subject to public inspection if the Oneida County Sheriff's Department made those arrests would not be open to public inspection if officers of the Lac du Flambeau police department made them.

Burke noted that, in construing the open records law, the Department of Justice has on multiple occasions concluded that state statutes define public records

(Confusing Continued on Page 7)

(Confusing Continued From Page 6)

authorities as only those who are custodians of the records of state agencies or state offices or public bodies created by the constitution, statute, ordinance, rule or order or of a political subdivision of the state.

"It follows that a tribal police department would not be an 'authority' within the meaning of the [statutes] unless it could be considered an agency of the State of Wisconsin or Statutes, or by an ordinance, rule or order issued by a Wisconsin governmental entity or official," Burke wrote.

"Similarly, a tribal police department is created by a resolution of the tribe's legislature, not by the Wisconsin Constitution or Statutes, or by ordinances, rules, or orders issued by a Wisconsin governmental body or entity," she stated.

What's more, she continued, the tribe's sovereign immunity would also shield tribal police department records.

"In addition, it is well established that Indian tribes possess **sovereign immunity** from lawsuits both in federal and state court," Burke wrote. "Tribal immunity applies to suits for declaratory and injunctive relief and to those for damages. The immunity extends to entities that are arms of a tribe, and also protects tribal officials and employees acting within the scope of their authority or employment."

Immunity also encompasses tribal activities that occur outside of Indian country, she stated.

Burke said the U.S. Congress could abrogate that immunity, or the tribe itself could waive it, but the state could do neither, and she said the prospects of a congressional action or tribal waiver was slim.

"There are no congressional acts abrogating tribal immunity from lawsuits filed under state laws such as Wisconsin's public records law, nor am I aware of any Wisconsin tribe that has waived its immunity from a lawsuit under Wisconsin's public records law," she wrote.

What's more, Burke stated, the state Legislature has expressly recognized tribal sovereign immunity with respect to tribal law enforcement agencies whose officers

exercise state law enforcement powers. For example, in specified circumstances state law grants tribal police officers the same powers as sheriffs to enforce state laws on their reservations - it should be noted that a state highway runs through the Lac du Flambeau reservation, thus conceivably giving tribal officers state authority on that portion of highway - but only if the tribe has adopted a resolution allowing enforcement in state court of the tribe's liability for the actions of its officers or that provides some other mechanism to do so.

"These provisions do not purport to directly regulate tribal police departments or to compel them to be sued in state court, but rather recognize tribal sovereignty by offering a grant of state powers to tribal police departments that voluntarily agree to meet state standards, to assume liability for the official acts of their officers, and to consent to suits in state court to enforce that liability," Burke wrote.

But, she continued, nothing in the statutes requires a tribe to comply with the state's public records law or to waive immunity from lawsuits to enforce that law as a condition of the state's grant of law enforcement powers to the tribe.

"If the Wisconsin Legislature had intended to impose such requirements, it would have done so expressly, just as it has done in the provision of [the statutes] discussed above," she wrote. "This acknowledgment of tribal sovereignty by the Wisconsin Legislature strongly supports the conclusion that - absent an actual waiver of immunity by the tribe - a tribal police department would be immune from a public records enforcement action"

All that being said, an inability to obtain records through a public records request doesn't mean those records are completely inaccessible, Burke wrote.

"The public records law and the discovery statutes applicable in litigation provide separate mechanisms to obtain potentially the same records," she stated. "As already noted, tribal police departments that exercise state law enforcement authority under [the statutes] are required to consent to the enforcement in state

court of tribal liability for the official acts of tribal police officers. Such consent undoubtedly would allow the use of discovery in such a court action to obtain records relevant to the underlying claim of liability."

In other words, a person arrested would, in court, have a defense entitlement for the records related to that arrest, and a person bringing a civil suit for liability would have a similar privilege.

However, that would still mean the general public would have no right to review tribal police reports, a right they have with state law enforcement agencies.

PARR Ed Note: First off we have to ask assistant attorney general Mary Burke how she can declare that American Citizens are part of sovereignty, other than the United States. As a member of the State of Wisconsin's top cop force I am sure she took an oath to live up and protect both the constitutions of the State of Wisconsin and The United States of America. Discrediting the constitution seems to be in vogue these days. Even the people whose job is to uphold the law are scoffing at it.

Burk's opinion substantiates our original conjecture; that if a citizen gets the crap beat out of him in the back of a tribal squad car he has no recourse. So we have to ask is this question is cross deputizing necessary or constitutional? This seems to create a whole bunch of controversy, with virtually nothing accomplished. The Lac du Flambeau can't effectively police their own reservation!!!

Regarding dear Mary Burk and her understanding of this whole problem, I suspect there will be more PARR comments.

§§§§§§

Paralysis by Analysis

On October 1, 2008, the 25-year ban on drilling in federal waters (the Outer Continental Shelf) expired, not with a bang but a whimper, as congressional opponents to drilling for oil on American soil admit that Americans are fed up with bans on doing the one thing likely to produce oil and gas— drilling for it. That is not to say, however, that environmental groups now must stand on the sidelines as the Nation searches for energy since they possess **(Continued See Michigan Page 8)**

(Michigan Continued From Page 7)

a host of tools to frustrate that effort, including the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), which enshrined the phrase "paralysis by analysis."

Take, for example, the plan, in 2003, by Savoy Energy of Traverse City, Michigan, to drill on three state and three federal leases in Crawford County, Michigan, 136 miles north of Lansing. Savoy proposed to use lands within the Huron- Manistee National Forest—managed by the U.S. Forest Service—to drill directionally beneath State of Michigan lands known as the "Mason Tract," a 4,679-acre parcel, which includes 11 miles of the Au Sable River.

Savoy's proposal was approved by the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality, whereupon the Forest Service undertook to comply with NEPA's requirements for a study of "major federal action" that "significantly affects the quality of the human environment." In 2004, the Forest Service issued an Environmental Assessment (EA) and then, in January 2005, issued a Decision Notice and a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) that approved Savoy's proposal but with additional mitigation measures.

In June 2005, Anglers of the Au Sable, and the Mackinac Chapter of the Sierra Club, sued alleging that the Forest Service had violated NEPA and, in December 2005, sought an injunction on drilling, which was granted five days later. In June 2006, the magistrate judge who had been assigned the case ruled that the Forest Service had violated NEPA. In July 2008, a Michigan federal district court judge upheld that ruling, holding that the Forest Service's finding that Savoy's exploratory well would have "no significant environmental impact" was arbitrary and capricious. Held the judge, the Forest Service had failed to study several issues, including the negative impact on tourism, at the local, county, and state levels, of the single well. In addition, the Forest Service wrongfully believed that federal law required it to recognize Savoy's right to drill on its property. Finally, the Forest Service had deferred improperly to Savoy as to the

location of the bottom hole.

One legal observer wrote that the federal district courts "decision seemed predetermined against the Forest Service," that the judge had "set impossible standards," and that he had improperly "substitute[d] his own judgment for that of the Forest Service." A career attorney with the U.S. Department of the Justice declared it to be "the worst NEPA ruling I have seen in 19 years of litigating these issues."

Ironically, the week the judge issued his ruling condemning the Forest Service for failing to ascertain the adverse impact on the "recreational experience" of seeing an exploratory well for those visiting the Mason Tract, the price of gasoline—more likely to affect that recreational experience—hit \$4.10. Celebrating their victory, leaders of the environmental groups said they wanted Savoy and the Forest Service to look elsewhere for oil and gas. "We've said from the beginning we didn't want to stop them from drilling. *We just want them to drill where there is no oil.*" [Emphasis mine]

One expects such nonsense from environmental groups; however, it is jarring to hear it from a federal judge. Nonetheless, that is the history of NEPA. No word yet on whether the federal government plans to fight back. If it is serious about finding oil and gas, it will! **PARR Ed Note:** With President elect Obama at the helm you can expect a whole torrent of left wing federal judges to be appointed. Therefore if you think this ruling is ridiculous, ***you ain't seen nottin yet!!!*** The Mountain States Legal Foundation provided PARR with the previous article. §§§§§§

Agents Bust Truck From Mexico With \$8 Million In Cocaine, Weed

Alert: Federal agents patrolling the U.S.-Mexico border recently busted two drug-smuggling loads coming into the United States.

On July 22, U.S. Customs and Border Patrol agents in Laredo, TX, at the Interstate 35 checkpoint were alerted by a border patrol canine that a tractor-trailer might contain hidden contraband or people. A

secondary inspection using gamma rays showed "an anomaly" in the trailer's cargo, and agents found several duffel bags with 70 cellophane-wrapped bundles totaling 240 pounds of cocaine.

The cocaine had an estimated value of \$7.7 million, and the drugs, driver and truck and trailer were turned over to Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

In the second incident, Customs and Border Patrol agents found duffel bags with cellophane-wrapped bundles of marijuana. In all, the agents found 1,587 pounds of pot with an estimated street value of \$636,900.

"These cases are the result of the agents' deft application of resources, technology and experience to detect and stop illegal activity that threatens our nation," said Laredo Sector Border Patrol Chief Patrol Agent Carlos X. Carrillo.

A Customs and Border Patrol spokesman told Land Line that he couldn't specify either truck's DOT number, and said arrest reports aren't public documents until the conclusion of the law enforcement agency's investigation.

§§§§§§

This is Justice?

Remember border patrol agents Ignacio Ramos and Jose Compean, that got 10 years plus in a federal jail for doing their jobs. Following is another sacrificial lamb offered up on the alter of illegal minority appeasement.

With 25 letters of commendation, two awards, and nine years of service to the Prince George's County Police Department in Maryland, Stephanie Mohr does not sound like an officer who should be spending years in jail away from her young son, Adam. Unfortunately, this is already Mohr's fifth year of a prison sentence that she does not deserve. With your help though, we can get this innocent officer out of jail and reunited with her son.

In September of 1995, Mohr was on patrol with her police dog Valk. She was patrolling Takoma Park, an area that had been known to have many recent burglaries. When Mohr and her partner, Sergeant Anthony Delozier, got a call for backup from

(Continued See Justice page 9)

(Justice Continued From page 8)

an officer who had spotted two men on the roof of a nearby store, they knew they may have found the criminals.

Ricardo Mendez and Herrera Cruz were the two suspects who were ordered to get down and face the wall. Mendez then made a move that looked as if he were about to flee the scene.

As Mohr had been trained to do in this type of situation, she released her canine. Valk, the police dog, was trained to perform the police department's standard "bite and hold" and that is exactly what he did. The dog bit Mendez on the leg and held him there until the officers could apprehend him.

Mendez and Herrera were convicted of 4th degree burglary and were then deported. The two illegally re-entered the U.S. again and were arrested for selling crack cocaine. They were then deported a second time.

Stephanie was relieved that she had gotten these two criminals off of our streets and back to their country. She soon gave birth to her son Adam and was a proud mother. Unfortunately, her joy did not last very long. Five years after this incident and one day before the statue of limitations was set to expire, Mohr was indicted by the U.S Department of Justice.

Looking for cases of "police brutality" the U.S Department of Justice indicted Stephanie and two fellow officers charging them with conspiracy charges and violating Ricardo Mendez's civil rights.

At the trial, Officer Mohr was found not guilty of conspiracy. A hung jury, voting 11 to 1 in favor of Mohr failed to reach a unanimous verdict on the civil right charges.

The prosecutor sought a retrial that was held in 2001. The trial was scheduled even though the jurors from the first trial said that the case lacked merit. At this trial the prosecution convinced the jury that Mohr had released her canine on innocent minority citizens.

In this second trial, the judge allowed prejudicial testimony into evidence that Mohr had used racial epithets in making a prior arrest
Page 9

PARR MEMBERSHIP APPLICATION		
(PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY)		
NAME(S)		
ADDRESS		
CITY	STATE	ZIP
PHONE()	DATE	
SINGLE MEMBERSHIP \$15()	FAMILY \$20()	
NEW MEMBER()	RENEWAL()	DONATIONS\$
FILL OUT AND MAIL ALONG WITH YOUR CHECK TO: P.A.R.R.; P.O. BOX 270007; MILWAUKEE, WI. 53227-0007		

using her canine. The charges did not start out as racial but the prosecutors needed to do something desperate to save their case. This questionable evidence should not have been allowed into the courtroom.

The jury was stacked with minorities who would be sympathetic with illegal immigrants. The government even flew Mendez back into the U.S. at taxpayer expense. They did this in order to have Mendez testify against Mohr despite the fact that he was a convicted felon.

Because of the racial tactics the prosecution had to use to save their failing case, Stephanie was convicted of a federal criminal rights violation and given a maximum 10-year prison sentence.

Stephanie is now serving her fifth year of the ten year prison sentence.

This is the fifth year that she has had to spend away from her son Adam. She has missed so many important days in her son's life like birthdays and holidays because of this prison sentence she does not deserve.

Stephanie has not lost hope though. She has faith in the Law Enforcement Legal Defense Fund. We are doing everything we can to help Mohr.

We have appealed the trial Courts decision denying Stephanie a new trial to the United States Court of Appeals for the 4th Circuit in Richmond, Virginia.

With just a few months left in his administration, President Bush is now reviewing Pardon requests. You and I both know that no one in the country deserves her freedom more than Stephanie Mohr.

Last month we filed our "request for a Commutation of Sentence" to President George W. Bush.

Human Events | One Massachusetts Ave., N.W. | Washington, D.C. 20001 provided PARR with this article.

§§§§§§

Snippet

In the back woods, Mr. Stewart's wife went into labor in the middle of the night, and the doctor was called out to assist in the delivery. To keep the nervous father-to-be busy, the doctor handed him a lantern and said, "Here, you hold this high so I can see what I'm doing." Soon, a wee baby boy was brought into the world.

"Whoa there Scotty!" said the doctor. "Don't be in a rush to put the lantern down... I think there's yet another wee one to come." Sure enough, within minutes he had delivered another little baby.

"No, no, don't be in a great hurry to be putting down that lantern, young man... It seems there's yet another one besides!" cried the doctor.

The new father scratched his head in bewilderment, and asked the doctor. "Do ye think it's the light that's attractin' them?"

Merry Christmas

USE NOTICE: This newsletter contains copy-righted material, the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copy-right owner. We are making such material available in our efforts to advance understanding of the political, human rights, economic, democratic, scientific, and social justice issues, including historical accuracy, as pertains to federal Indian policy/programs. We believe this constitutes a "fair use" of any such copy-righted material as provided for in section 107 of material on this site is distributed the US Copy-right Law. In accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107, the without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving this information for research and educational purposes. Articles provided here without permission from the copy-right owner are provided for the purposes of criticism, comment, scholarship and research under of the "fair use" provisions the Federal copyright laws. This material may not be distributed further without permission of the copy-right owner, except for "fair use"

