



ARGU

AMERICAN RIGHTS GUARDIAN UPDATE

VOLUME 10 NUMBER 1 WINTER 2008

The only printed voice of opposition to Federal Government Indian Policy / Programs in Wisconsin

Published by Protect Americans' Rights & Resources to maintain an informed membership

According to The Tax Foundation, in 2007 Americans worked longer to pay for government (120 days) than they did for food, clothing and housing combined (105 days). Since 1986 taxes have cost more than these basic necessities. In fact, Americans have to work longer to afford federal taxes alone (79 days) than they do to afford housing (62 days). And that's not even counting state and local taxes!

When it comes to local taxes our **Governor Doyle has accomplished so much!!!** One of Doyle's Wisconsin constituents found it obligatory to extend congratulations to Gov. Jim **[I never saw a tax I didn't like]** Doyle for all of his terrific accomplishments so far in leading our fine state. He said: *"I'm sure I will miss a few, but I would like to review some of his biggest accomplishments to date. He recently used his 'Frankenstein veto' to raise levy limits on local governments from 2% to 3.86%. With home mortgage foreclosures tripling in the past two years, he still leads the way for new tax increases and state government spending. He has used the money from the state's lawsuit against the cigarette industry to balance the budget. That was just two years ago. What happened to that balance that we must increase taxes again? He threatened to use the fund that helps pay medical malpractice claims to balance the budget again. During campaigning, he said there is no room for discrimination in Wisconsin. I guess that means unless you're a smoker, drinker, drive cars, own a home or property and have a job. I'm sure I'm missing many other fine points, but with the guidance of such a great governor, it won't be long before we can call Wisconsin No. 1. Yes, we will lead the nation in property tax rates, sales tax, gasoline tax, school tax, unemployment tax, cigarette tax, alcoholic beverage tax, vehicle registration fees, etc."*

This writer's 2007 property tax increased by several hundred dollars. Being on a fixed income I really appreciate Navajo Jim's efforts to make sure that I no longer have to worry about money---I don't have any!!! I hope you all don't forget the **re-elect me and I will not raise taxes.** campaign promise.

Oathtakers Reward Themselves for Squeezing Taxpayers

By Bob Manzke

There is grave concern that the increased taxes and fees, listed above, will nickel and dime Wisconsin's hard working families and seniors into further economic stress. Irregardless, many legislative members, especially those who voted for the budget, suggest that this is not a **legitimate concern**, believing that all Wisconsin citizens can afford to pay more in taxes and fees. Now, in an unacceptable move, the legislature has taken action to increase the salary of legislators and other government officials and improve the perks offered to State Senators.

Recently, the Joint Committee on Legislative Organization approved a provision to increase the pay for state legislators and constitutional officers like the Governor, Attorney General, State Treasurer and Secretary of State. The new policy was approved and, starting in 2009, members of the State Legislature will receive a pay increase of 6.3 percent to \$50,438 per year. In addition, the constitutional officers will receive a 7.4 percent pay increase and the salary of the state Superintendent of Public Instruction will jump by 11.7 percent. The next Governor, after the 2010 election, will earn a new annual salary of \$147,313.

Then, just last week, the Senate Committee on Organization - the committee responsible for the administration of the State Senate - approved a proposal that will require taxpayers to provide additional reimbursement to State Senators for automobile fuel usage

Page 1

(Continued See Oathtakers Page2)



HI, I'M A TAXPAYING
CITIZEN OF WISCONSIN
AND
I'VE BEEN DOYLED.

(Oathtakers Continued From Page 1)

In addition, the committee also approved a policy allowing Senators to claim per diem – (the reimbursement for Senators' costs incurred while in Madison on legislative business) - on the same day they claim the mileage reimbursement. This practice was not previously permitted under Senate Rules

PARR Ed Note: Isn't it amazing how the Oathtakers reward themselves for squeezing blood out of those least able to pay.

Is Governor Doyle Above law?

BY Greg Graunke & Bob Manzke

After the monumental tax increases big chief Doyle and his cabal of Oathtakers overloaded the taxpayers of Wisconsin with; the state should be able to afford to hire its own cops. So, why are they turning tribal cops loose on the citizens of Wisconsin? Chairman Graunke and I have been looking for the answer to this since December when this announcement was made. We sent the following to several newspapers (letter to editor) and Politicians...Result silence!

In what's being hailed as equality between state and tribal law officers, Governor Jim Doyle signed a bill that would equalize the power of law enforcement officers.

The new law gives deputies and wardens of the Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission (GLIFWC) the same rights as other Wisconsin law enforcement officers.

This bill is just one more step in the State of Wisconsin moving forward, knowingly blurring the Constitutionality provided for in the various States of the Union and federally recognized tribes in the U.S. Constitutionally fraudulent treaty rights arena...PARR Ed. It's an important recognition that GLIFWC's officers are just as important as any other officers in the state.

The above raises several troubling State of Wisconsin and U.S. Constitutional conflicts:

(1) What if I get the tar beat out of me (it has happened before) in the back of a GLIFWC squad car, what recourse do I have?

(2) Who do I sue? The State because
Page 2

it has accepted liability for GLIFWC personnel off reservation or the tribe or both.

(3) And, in whose court...tribal or State? Tribal courts (A) are un-Constitutional under the United States Article III and Wisconsin Constitutions and (B) have no authority over non-Indians on reservation land. Gov. Doyle cannot delegate Wisconsin State executive punitive authority to GLIFWC without meaningful control over these personnel per United States v. Lara (03-107) 541 U.S. 193 (2004) 324 F. 3d 635 reversed citing Printz: "Congress cannot transfer federal executive power to individuals who are beyond meaningful Presidential control." Printz v. United States, 521 U.S. 898, 922—923 (1997). It does not appear that the President has any control over tribal officials, let alone a substantial measure of the appointment and removal power. Cf. Brief for National Congress of American Indians as Amicus Curiae 27—29. Thus, at least until we are prepared to recognize absolutely independent agencies entirely outside of the Executive Branch with the power to bind the Executive ...the tribes cannot be analogized to administrative agencies, as the dissent suggests, at 2 (opinion of Souter, J.).

And how about The Governor and State legislators exceeded their U.S. Constitutional authority by usurping the Constitution of the State of Wisconsin to enact such a law. See Printz, where the U.S. Supreme Court ruled there can be no delegation of executive punitive authority to tribes without meaningful control by (the State Executive or) the President of the United States.

Having a peace officer from a U.S. Constitutionally fictitious sovereign Indian Nation detain me would be the same as a cop from Canada or Mexico coming to my home and confining me.

Our final question: As citizens of Wisconsin and The United States of America, why is the individual's sovereignty being trespassed to pay for some political agenda? (In PARR's opinion this is payback for political donations).

PARR Ed Note: GLIFWC Director Jim Zorn says "the bill is proof that the state and tribes have come a

long way since violence over treaty rights in the late 1980's."The boat landings when tribal members were attempting to exercise their fishing rights. This bill is just one more step in the state of Wisconsin moving forward between the state and the tribes in the treaty rights arena."

If this bill is such a great stride forward in the area of race relations. How come the passing of this bill wasn't made public and why all the silence when the subject of this bill is brought up? Smells like the treaty rights extortion all over again. "Enough time has passed and the taxpayers probably forgot, so let's make another grab."

§§§§§§

Campaign Integrity

The newly created, Wisconsin Judicial Campaign Integrity Committee (WJCIC) trumpets itself as a citizen committee "protecting fair and impartial courts." The committee is neither fair, nor impartial. Committee Chairman and State Bar President Thomas Basting, a trial lawyer who has done criminal defense work, also calls the group non-partisan, yet nearly all of its members have ties to Governor Jim Doyle and the Democratic Party - including Basting. Doyle appointed Louis (loop hole) Butler to the Supreme Court in 2004 and recently hosted a high dollar fundraiser for Butler's campaign. 7 of the 8 members are former Democratic political leaders or appointees and/or donors of Governor Jim Doyle. Five members have made a total of 45 donations to Doyle's campaigns, including a dozen from Basting.

Former Democratic Senate Majority Leader Tim Cullen made 18 contributions, former Democratic Governor Tony Earl made 3, former Martin Schreiber cabinet member Carol Toussaint made 8 and UW-Madison professor Dennis Dresang made 4. Three of the group's members or their spouses have ties to Louis Butler's campaign, and one member, Tony Earl has contributed money to Justice Butler. At least 5 of the committee members or their spouses have ties to liberal attorney Linda Clifford's unsuccessful 2007 campaign. None of the members has ties

(Continued See Campaign Page 3)

(Campaign Continued from Page 2)
to Butler's opponent Judge Michael Gableman.

The only member of the WJCIC without a direct tie to Jim Doyle is Bill Kraus, a self-described "disaffiliated" Republican who advocates taxpayer funded campaigns and allowing the governor to appoint state Supreme Court justices. Kraus serves as Co-Chair of Wisconsin's Common Cause and is a frequent critic of Republican candidates and policies, once calling President Bush "ignorant" and questioning Ronald Reagan's intelligence. In addition, Kraus' wife Toni Sikes contributed \$200 to Louis Butler's campaign in June of 2007, and he's hosted and attended fundraisers for liberal politicians and judges.

The only other Republican representative on the WJCIC is Appeal Court Judge Dave Deininger, a former legislator who was recently appointed by Doyle to serve on the Government Accountability Board.

5 of the 8 WJCIC members live in Madison, two are University of Wisconsin Professors, three are former legislators and only 1 member is a woman. The WJCIC has no minority representation. According to its working guidelines, the committee will meet in secret on an as needed basis, all deliberations will be kept confidential and Chairman Basting is the only committee member permitted to address the media

§§§§§§

WISCONSIN'S 1993 **CONSTITUTIONAL** **GAMBLING AMENDMENT** **AND CLASS III COMPACT** **NEGOTIATIONS**

By: Veronica Rose, Principal Analyst

We have received many queries about Indian gambling. PARR procured and decided to print, FYI, the following.

You asked if Wisconsin's 1993 constitutional amendment explicitly limiting gambling and prohibiting casino-style gambling has precluded tribal-state gaming compact negotiations. You also want information on any legal challenges to the state's authority to negotiate compacts

Page 3

since the amendment passed and a discussion of the issues involved.

SUMMARY

In 1993, Wisconsin amended its constitution (1) explicitly limiting authorized gambling to bingo, raffles, pari-mutuel on-track betting, and a state-run lottery and (2) expressly prohibiting the state-run lottery from conducting casino-style games. At the time the constitution was amended, all 11 of that state's federally recognized tribes and bands had already negotiated class III gaming compacts with casino-style gambling pursuant to the federal Indian Gaming Regulatory Act (IGRA). So far, the amendment has not affected these compacts, but a legal challenge is pending in state court.

The compacts were initially valid for seven years and are renewable at five-year intervals. Between 1998 and 1999, the governor, on the state's behalf, renewed all of them. Dairyland Greyhound Park, Inc., which operates a dog racetrack in the state, has challenged the governor's action. Citing legislative history and the constitutional amendment, the track sued the governor in 2001 claiming he lacks authority to modify, extend, or renew compacts involving casino gambling.

Resolution of the legal questions in the lawsuit has been delayed by a dispute between the parties over whether the case can be tried without naming the tribes as co-defendants. (By law, tribes are immune from suits in state court.) On September 19, 2002, Wisconsin's Appellate Court ruled that the suit could proceed without the tribes as parties. The governor could still ask the state's Supreme Court to review this decision. If he does not, the case will proceed to trial (Dairyland Greyhound Park, Inc., v. MaCallum, 2002 WL 31087000, (Wis. App.). A Dairyland press release (10/17/02) states that because the compacts are up for renewal next year, the racetrack will ask to bypass the lower courts and take the case directly to the Wisconsin Supreme Court.

WISCONSIN COMPACT ISSUES

1987 Amendment In 1987, Wisconsin amended its constitution. The amended constitution authorized a state lottery and pari-mutuel betting and did not prohibit other forms of

gaming involving the elements of prize, chance, and consideration. State-tribal compact negotiations stalled over whether casino-style games were permitted under the law and should thus be included in negotiations. IGRA generally allows a tribe to conduct under a negotiated compact any form of gambling that a state permits (see OLR Report 2002-R-0425 for a detailed discussion of IGRA). The state contended that unless a state expressly authorizes a particular type of game, that gaming activity is illegal on tribal lands.

1991 Wisconsin Court Decision

In a pivotal Wisconsin case, the U. S. District Court, taking what some courts have described as an expansive view of a U. S. Supreme Court precedent, ruled that since the state constitution did not prohibit the legislature from authorizing state-operated casino games and since Wisconsin permitted a substantial level of class III gambling, the state was required to negotiate with the tribes over "the inclusion of any activity that includes the elements of prize, chance and consideration and that is not prohibited expressly by the Wisconsin constitution or state law" (Lac du Flambeau Band v. Wisconsin, 770 F. Supp. 480 (W. D. Wis. (1991), at 488)).

Wisconsin Gaming Compacts Pursuant to the Lac du Flambeau decision, Wisconsin completed gaming compacts with all 11 of that state's federally recognized tribes and bands between August 16, 1991 and June 11, 1992. The compacts allow the tribes to conduct specified forms of class III gambling. The authorized games include electronic games of chance with video facsimile displays; (2) electronic games of chance with mechanical displays; (3) blackjack; and (4) pull-tabs or break-open tickets, when not played at the same location as bingo. Tribes may not conduct other class III games not included in the compact.

The compacts are automatically renewable for five-year terms unless either party gives to the other at least 180 days nonrenewal notice before they expire. If either party gives such notice, the tribe may, pursuant to IGRA's procedures, ask the state to negotiate a successor

(Continued see Negotiations page 4)

(Negotiations Continued From Page 3)

compact. In that case, the state has agreed to do so in good faith. If the compact is not renewed and a successor compact is not concluded by the compact's expiration date, the tribe must either (a) stop all class III gaming or (b) begin action in federal court under IGRA's procedures. In the latter case, the current compact remains in effect until IGRA's procedures are exhausted (Legal Gambling in Wisconsin, Legislative Fiscal Bureau, 2001, p. 31).

1993 Constitutional Amendment

Wisconsin, in 1993, amended its constitution, explicitly limiting the forms of gambling that the legislature could authorize to (1) bingo and raffles conducted by qualified organizations (2) pari-mutuel on-track betting, and (3) the state-run lottery. The amendment expressly prohibited as part of the lottery casino-style gambling, including blackjack, video games of chance, keno, roulette, baccarat, and any game of chance played on a slot machine or mechanical electromechanical or electronic device generally available to be played at a gambling casino (Wis. Const. Art. 4, § 24).

SUIT CHALLENGING GOVERNOR'S AUTHORITY TO RENEW COMPACTS

Under Wisconsin law, the governor has the authority to negotiate and enter into class III gaming compacts on the state's behalf. Between February 1998 and March 1999, he renewed all of the compacts, extending their terms for five years. The current compacts will begin to expire in 2003.

In 2001, Dairyland sued the governor to bar him "from entering into any new, modified, extended or renewed gaming compacts with any Indian tribe purporting to allow casino gambling and requiring [him] to issue timely notice of nonrenewal of each compact." Dairyland asserted that the 1993 constitutional gambling amendment deprived the governor of whatever authority he may have once had to permit tribes to conduct casino-type gambling in Wisconsin under compacts.

The suit alleges that the governor no longer has legal authority to modify, extend, or renew tribal-state

gaming compacts since the state federal law authorizing the compacts first requires state law to permit the types of gaming included in the compacts. Since the 1993 constitutional amendment expressly prohibits casino gambling (such as blackjack, slot machines, keno or roulette), Dairyland maintains that any attempt to include such games in new or modified compacts would be unconstitutional.

The lawsuit also indicated that recent federal court decisions have held that federal gaming laws do not require or authorize compacts for forms of gaming that state law prohibits.

§§§§§§

Ho-Chunk Still Delinquent

By Bob Manzke

Recently, at his state of the State message, Governor Jim Doyle informed the taxpayers, that in spite of the astronomical tax rise last year, the state is in alarming financial trouble. Many cuts in services were signaled.

One would think that the state would demand that some of the debts owed to the state be paid. Under a gambling compact signed in 2003, the Ho-Chunk agreed to pay the state up to \$30 million a year and was allowed to expand its number and types of games. But the tribe said it didn't have to pay after the Wisconsin Supreme Court in 2004 ruled that Gov. Jim Doyle didn't have the authority to sign.

Wisconsin took the Ho-Chunk to federal court in 2005. The case has bounced from the district court to the appeals court and back twice already.

So far, state taxpayers have spent \$1.2 million in legal fees in the case; trying to collect the Ho-Chunk's \$72 million in gambling fees they owe the state.

What boggles one's mind is the fact that the Ho-Chunk is still in business, and I believe all the expanded types of gambling are still in place. Until the Ho-Chunk pays the state for the use of the State's infrastructure (Police, Fire, roads, communication, and on and on) the total will probably come to more than the \$72 million now owed.

Navajo Jim, why do you continue to allow tribal gambling by a tribe

that will not pay our state what it agreed to? Your forces could easily terminate such an operation. Once the due money is received by the state... allow them to continue.

The courts now have determined that tribal casinos can be taxed just like any other business. Our advice to the Ho-Chunk is to pay your bills and shut up. This attempt to acquire a free ride may cost you more in the end!!!

PARR Ed Note: In the real world if one owns property and refuses to pay its bills, a lien is set against said property. However, that won't happen here because without the tribe's money (political donations) our Governor wouldn't be in office.

§§§§§§

Indians As Wards Of The United States Government Is

A Hoax

By Paul R. Jones

How many times have you seen in print or heard attorney's for Indian tribes and or federal/state agencies assert and recite the Bureau of Indian Affairs has authority to establish the metes and bounds of reservations and tribal enrolled members via Title 25 U.S.C. and its progeny because 'they are "wards" of the United States government? The assertions and recitations the B.I.A. is "Parens Patriae"* to these enrolled tribal members is patently false! (*Lat. "parent of his country." Used when the government acts on behalf of a child or mentally ill person. Refers to the "state" as the guardian of minors and incompetent people). The following enactment unambiguously affirms the continued assertions and recitations the B.I.A. exerts such 'control' over enrolled tribal members is yet more false premises and inferences used to 'bully' anyone opposing Title 25 U.S.C.:

Freedom from Federal Supervision Act (1953), 67 Stat. B132 (1953) - August 1, 1953, saw the end of Indians as wards of the U.S. Several tribes were "terminated" by statute. So how it is no one seems to know of this obscure 1953 enactment?

Or, why is it not used in litigation with tribes and or state/federal

(Continued see Hoax Page 5)

(Hoax Continued From Page 4)

agencies by anyone opposing any insertion by the B.I.A. and other Indian Industry advocates of the 'Parens Patriae' argument into any dispute involving tribes, and lay persons or groups opposing anything involving a tribe re. (1) 'land to trust' (2) casino construction (3) 'sovereign immunity issues' (4) E.P.A. alleged delegation to a tribe to 'regulate' utilities and or natural resources like water (5) and so on?

In this author's opinion, no one has used this 1953 enactment in any litigation simply because (1) no one pointed it out to the opponents of Title 25 U.S.C. (2) too lazy to do the legal search (3) lacked appreciation of the U.S. Constitutional significance of the enactment (4) arrogance or lacking initiative to 'think' outside of the box

Considering tribes are no longer 'wards' of the government thanks to the above enactment, what are they? Here are a few hints:

- 1...Article IV, Section 4 forecloses any notion tribes are 'governments' within the meaning of the U.S. Constitution.
- 2...The U.S. Constitution also forecloses any notion they are 'sovereign nations within a sovereign nation' as such a condition would dismantle the whole of the U.S. Constitution not to mention running afoul of numerous national security enactments re. (I) Title 50, U.S.C. Appendix-War and National Defense, Defense Production Act of 1950-Exon Florio (II) U.S.A. Patriot Act of 2001, P.L. 107-56, 115 Stat. (III) Intelligence Reform and Terrorist Prevention Act of 2004, P.L. 180-458, 118 Stat. 3638 and (4) Homeland Security Act of 2002, P.L. 107-296, 116 Stat. 2135 (2002). And, any assertion and recitation that 'tribes' are 'domestic dependent nations' citing dicta from Justice Marshall's Indian Trilogy is foreclosed by Stare Decisis.
- 3... All Indians are, in reality, citizens of the United States as of The Indian Citizenship Act of 1924 (If you don't like The Indian Citizenship Act of 1924 as authority for 'citizenship,' then read the Fourteenth Amendment's paragraph 2-All Indians, excluding Indians not taxed, are citizens of the United States). No more Page 5

and no less individual (not groups or tribes) possessors of the U.S. Constitution's entire mantle of protection...particularly against the states and national government abrogating, impinging or abusing their conceded U.S. Constitutional protections. The U.S. Constitution was written to protect the individual sovereign/Body-politic not groups!

So, again, what are federally recognized Indian tribes? In the opinion of this author, Indian tribes are no more than private enterprise made up on like 'blood quantum' members.

The unvarnished truth is Indian tribes are no longer 'wards' of the federal government, nor are they 'domestic dependent nations;' then what U.S. Constitutional authority does the legislative and executive branches of government have to enact Title 25 U.S.C. that is not a PRESUMPTIVELY UNCONSTITUTIONAL blood quantum race based enactment necessitating STRICT SCRUTINY for violating the Body-politics U.S. Constitutional protections and the protections of anyone 'caught-up' in dealing with tribes on anything?

For those of you 'caught-up' in litigation or pending litigation involving tribe(s) and or state/federal agencies, sue elected servants by name including governors and U.S. Senate and House elected servants citing whatever the dispute is over as Title 25 et al is a blood quantum race based PRESUMPTIVELY UNCONSTITUTIONAL enactment impinging or trespassing or abrogating your First, Fifth, Ninth, Tenth and Fourteenth Amendment protections plus Article IV, Section 4 guarantee necessitating STRICT SCRUTINY analysis by the judiciary. (See author's Endo, Strict Scrutiny and Presumptively Unconstitutional essays)

Do not file under any federal or state or Indian common law i.e. Title 42 #1893! The U.S. Constitution provides all of the (1) 'cause of action,' (2) 'personal harm,' and (3) 'nexus to elected servants conduct to the personal harm to you,' necessary to prevail. The key is not to get into a federal common law slug-fest where Indian Industry advocates can trot out any and all of the decisions supporting Title 25 U.S.C.

A challenge to the Constitutionality of Title 25 as a whole precludes the Indian Industry advocates- including state/federal agencies and or officials-from arguing any court decisions involving Title 25. Why is that? Because the other side cannot use Title 25 as a defense and or argument when the very Title is being challenged as un-Constitutional!

Does that make sense?

§§§§§§

LdF's off-Reservation Casino bid Rejected by BIA

Gleaned from an article by Ana Davis which Appeared in the Lakeland Times Newspaper

The Bureau of Indian affairs recently rejected a bid from the Lac du Flambeau tribe to open an off-reservation casino on a 20-acre parcel in Shullsburg WI. on the grounds that it was too far from the tribe's reservation. Shullsburg, in Lafayette County, is approximately 300 miles south of Lac du Flambeau.

The decision has been met with anger and frustration from the tribe, who have been working on the project for eight years. The proposed off-reservation casino had already received a majority approval of Shullsburg and Lafayette County officials.

"We continue to be astonished at the seemingly cloak and dagger communication style of the DOI (Department of Interior)," said tribal president Victoria Doud.

Eleven tribes turned down

The LdF application was one of 11 turned down by DOI-administered BIA, which cited the proposed casino's distance from, and potential negative impact to, the reservation.

However, according to DOI press secretary Shane Wolfe, two bids by other tribes for new casinos in Beloit and Kenosha are still being considered.

In a letter to Doud, BIA assistant secretary Carl Artman said the tribe's reservation and the proposed Shullsburg casino are located approximately 304 miles apart, and therefore would not bring jobs to tribal members living on the LdF reservation.

"The Department is concerned that approval of this application would not support the option for tribal members to live on their

(Continued see Rejected Page 6)

(Rejected Continued From Page 5)

existing reservation and to have meaningful employment opportunities at the proposed gaming establishment," Artman wrote. "The tribe expects employment opportunities to increase for local residents, but not to provide jobs directly to the residents of the reservation."

Casino could hurt reservation life

Furthermore, because the distance was not commutable, Artman wrote that tribal members would either decline the job opportunity to stay on the reservation or move away from the reservation to take advantage of the job opportunity.

"The location of the proposed gaming facility," he added, "can have significant negative effects on reservation life,"

The Indian Gaming Regulatory Act of 1988 specifies certain criteria for off-reservation gaming to occur on Indian lands. According to the IGRA, these Indian lands must be trust lands "over which an Indian tribe exercises governmental power."

Furthermore, the Indian Reorganization Act of 1934 gives the DOI secretary authority to take off-reservation Indian lands into trust, but, in the cases of land a substantial distance from the reservation, only if two provisions are met.

"(There are) two provisions of particular relevance to applications that involve land that is a considerable distance from the reservation," stated Wolfe. "As the distance between the tribe's reservation and the land to be acquired increases, the Secretary shall give: 1) greater scrutiny to the tribe's justification of anticipated benefits from the acquisition and 2) greater weight to concerns raised by state and local governments as to the acquisition's potential impacts on regulatory jurisdiction, real property taxes and special assessments."

BIA blocking tribe's progress?

The LdF tribe's press release, issued by public relations officer Laura Stoffel, condemns the BIA's action, accusing the agency of obstructing opportunities for Native Americans.

"We have incurred significant costs in consultants and legal fees to continue to comply with the process, Page 6

only to have a premature decision based on an incomplete application thwart our efforts," Doud said.

"Rather than promoting self-sustaining Indian nations, the Bureau of Indian Affairs and the Department of Interior continue to mount obstacles to deter progress for Indian Nations."

Stoffel said the tribe has written to Artman and is waiting for a response.

"The tribe is weighing its legal options," she said.

§§§§§§

True American

It is time to change from redneck humor to true American humor! Only it isn't seen as humor, but the correct way to live your life! If you feel the same, pass this on to your true American friends. Y'all know who they are...

You might be a true American if: It never occurred to you to be offended by the phrase, "one nation, under God."

You might be a true American if: You've never protested about seeing the 10 commandments posted in public places.

You might be a true American if: You still say "Christmas" instead of Winter Festival."

You might be a true American if: You bow your head when someone prays.

You might be a true American if: You stand and place your hand over your heart when they play the national anthem.

You might be a true American if: You treat Vietnam vets with great respect, and always have.

You might be a true American if: You've never burned an American flag.

You might be a true American if: You know what you believe and you aren't afraid to say so, no matter who is listening.

You might be a true American if: You respect your elders and expect your kids to do the same.

You might be a true American if: You'd give your last dollar to a friend.

PARR published this article because we believe that you, like us, have just enough true American in you to have the same beliefs as those talked about in this article.

God Bless the U S A! Amen

§§§§§§

Scratch! Two Illegals

Elaine Willman sent us the following: She said "I don't know what paper or where this report comes from, but it's interesting..."

Shooting in Butte, Montana
Shotgun preteen vs. Illegal alien
Home Invaders

Butte, Montana November 5, 2006

Two illegal aliens, Ralphel Resindez, 23, and Enrico Garza, 26, probably believed they would easily overpower home-alone 11 year old Patricia Harrington after her father had left their two-story home.

It seems the two crooks never learned two things: they were in Montana and Patricia had been a clay shooting champion since she was nine. Patricia was in her upstairs room when the two men broke through the front door of the house. She quickly ran to her father's room and grabbed his 12 Gauge Mossberg 500 shotgun.

Resindez was the first to get up to the second floor only to be the first to catch a near point blank blast of buckshot from the 11-year-old's knee crouch aim. He suffered fatal wounds to his abdomen and genitals.

When Garza ran to the foot of the stairs, he took a blast to the left shoulder and staggered out into the street where he bled to death before medical help could arrive.

It was found out later that Resindez was armed with a stolen 45 caliber handgun he took from another home invasion robbery. That victim, 50-year-old David Burien, was not so lucky. He died from stab wounds to the chest.

Ever wonder why good stuff never makes NBC, CBS, PBS, MSNBC, CNN, or ABC News...."God I love this kid..." **Nice Shootin'**, Patricia....

§§§§§§

No Comprenda

The federal government says employers must hire people who refuse to communicate in English or face the consequences.

Strange, isn't it... our government has a problem pursuing employers who knowingly hire illegal aliens but has no problem going

(Continued see No Page 7)

(No Continued From Page 6)

after small businesses and nonprofit organizations that simply ask employees to speak English while they're on the clock!

First, liberals told us we had to give up our religion, even during Christmas and the rest of the holiday season: No prayers in school... No nativity scenes on the town square... No mention of God at high school graduations... Next they told us we had to give up love of our country: America is racist and sexist... America coddles the rich and exploits the poor... America is the most dangerous nation in the world...

Now they're demanding we give up our language!

That's right! The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) is going after a Salvation Army thrift store because it required employees to speak English on the job! The store even gave everyone a year to learn English. Two refused and were fired.

Here's what we're heading toward: You go into a shoe store and the clerk doesn't even know the English word for shoe.

The waiter in a restaurant can't understand what you're ordering and brings you soft-boiled eggs instead of fried chicken.

A worker in a sawmill cuts off your right arm because he doesn't know the meaning of "STOP!"

This madness is one more consequence of allowing 12-20 million illegal aliens to pour across our borders unchecked.

This time, however, somebody is saying **"No more! Enough!"**

Senator Lamar Alexander (R-TN) has introduced a bill that -- if passed -- would stop EEOC dead in its tracks.

His bill would "make clear that it is not against federal law for an employer to require employees to speak English on the job."

Since Congress created the EEOC, it has the authority to tell it what to do and what not to do!

The EEOC took action against 200 businesses this past year because they simply wished to require their employees to speak English on the job. This campaign of federal
Page 7

government harassment must STOP! Small businesses in America will be wrecked if the EEOC is allowed to enforce this further dismantling of America!

Here is what Senator Alexander says about his bill in a press release.

"A federal agency says it's illegal for an employer to require employees to speak in English, which, in plain English, means that thousands of small businesses in American would have to hire a lawyer and be prepared to make their case to a federal agency that there is some special reason to justify speaking English on the job. I believe this is a gross distortion of the Civil Rights Act and a complete misunderstanding of what it means to be an American."

Lest anyone think this bill would force illegal aliens to speak English at all time, Alexander said this; "This bill's not about affecting people's lunch hour or coffee break -- it's about protecting the rights of employers to ensure their employees can communicate with each other and their customers during the working hours. In America, requiring English in the workplace is not discrimination; it's common sense."

In other words, people can speak in Spanish, Swahili, or any other language they wish during their personal time (lunch, coffee-breaks etc.). Anyone who says otherwise is either misinformed or lying.

By the way, Alexander originally offered a similar bill as an amendment to the Commerce, Justice and Science (CJS) Appropriations Bill; and it was added to the CJS Appropriations Bill back in June by a vote of 15-14. And liberals squashed it!

A conference committee was to iron out differences between the Senate and House versions and send it to the President.

But the liberals, led by Speaker Nancy Pelosi, caved in to the advocates of illegal immigration and refused to bring the bill with Alexander's amendment before the conference committee.

Instead the radical and ruthless Pelosi presented an omnibus bill that gutted the amendment.

Now Alexander's back with a free-standing bill that says the same thing.

If this bill fails, the EEOC -- and liberals in government -- may declare all-out war against small businesses in America.

§§§§§

Lakotas leaving?

By Thomas Lifson and
Appeared in the American Thinker

A group claiming to represent the Lakota (aka Teton, Tetonwan) people, part of the Sioux, announces via press release their independence from the United States. Details of any official standing of the press release and group are less than skimpy.

The news was picked up eagerly overseas (e.g., Radio Netherlands, U.K. Telegraph, AFP and Malaysia Sun) faster than at home, although USA Today and local/regional media like the Rapid City Journal had coverage that went beyond PR transcription. That last paper quotes Russell Means:

"We are now a free country and independent of the United States of America," Means said in a telephone interview. "This is all completely legal."

Means said a Lakota delegation on Monday delivered a statement of "unilateral withdrawal" from the United States to the U.S. State Department in Washington.

The State Department did not respond. "That'll take some time," Means said.

Means said anyone could live in the Lakota Nation, tax free, as long as they renounced their U.S. citizenship. The nation would issue drivers licenses and passports, but each community would be independent. "It will be the epitome of individual liberty, with community control," Means said.

To make his case, Means cited several articles of the U.S. Constitution, the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties and a recent non-binding U.N. resolution on the rights of indigenous people.

He thinks there will be international pressure. "If the U.S. violates the law, the whole world will know it," Means said. The United States fought its bloodiest war to defend its territorial integrity. Means is a career left wing activist (and Hollywood actor), in other words a publicity

(Continued see Lakotas Page 8)

(Lakotas Continued From Page 7)

business veteran. Means is playing to anti-American leftists in world media and politics, advocates of "soft power" with their own agenda. The initial reaction suggests that he will have a willing and credulous audience, eager for pictures of poverty-stricken Native American, victims of a racist "occupation" of land that is rightfully theirs.

It doesn't take a lot of imagination to see harmonic convergence looming in the fantasies of the international left. Their publicity campaign is coming. It deserves to be laughed away. Blogger Steveforprez for example, offers his sympathetic but firm voice:

My Indian friends come into the 21st century, where life is good. Many, many of your brothers and sisters have and will tell you the same thing. There's plenty for everybody. Just be willing to work, obey the laws, raise your kids right, take your pleasures in moderation and leave your space better than you found it. You can worship God or the Great Spirit as you understand Him and in whatever manner you wish. I know, I know, it wasn't fair that some people came over here a long time ago and they and your ancestors couldn't get along. But, whether you accept it or not, there was plenty blame to go around on all sides. Whether you accept that or not, the clock's not turning back. Citing some United Nations declaration doesn't mean squat, it's not the law of this land. Mexicans aren't getting back Texas or Southern California and you're not getting absolute independence. It just isn't going to happen! Not. Going. To. Happen.

PARR Ed Note: Folks: This is the same Russell Means that orchestrated the Columbus Day parade interruptions.

Mr. Means and his band of goofy followers seem to yearn to turn the calendar back 200 years. Can't help but wonder how long they would last living off the land, without the white man's infrastructure?

§§§§§§

What's on Everyone's Mind

I am not sure this really came from Andy Rooney, but it sounds like
Page 8

him and the messages are right "on" and I agree with them. Andy Rooney said this on '60 Minutes' a few weeks back:

I don't think being a minority makes you a victim of anything except numbers. The only things I can think of that are truly discriminatory are things like the United Negro College Fund, Jet Magazine, Black Entertainment Television, and Miss Black America. Try to have things like the United Caucasian College Fund, Cloud Magazine, White Entertainment Television, or Miss White America; and see what happens...Jesse Jackson will be knocking down your door.

Guns do not make you a killer. I think killing makes you a killer. You can kill someone with a baseball bat or a car, but no one is trying to ban you from driving to the ball game.

I believe they are called the Boy Scouts for a reason, which is why there are no girls allowed. Girls belong in the Girl Scouts! Are you listening Martha Burke ?

I think that if you feel homosexuality is wrong, it is not a phobia, it is an opinion.

I have the right 'not' to be tolerant of others because they are different, weird, or tick me off.

When 70% of the people who get arrested are black, in cities where 70% of the population is black, that is not racial profiling; it is the Law of Probability.

I believe that if you are selling me a milkshake, a pack of cigarettes, a newspaper or a hotel room, you must do it in English! As a matter of fact, if you want to be an American citizen, you should have to speak English!

My father and grandfather didn't die in vain so you can leave the countries you were born in to come over and disrespect ours.

I think the police should have every right to shoot you if you threaten them after they tell you to stop. If you can't understand the word 'freeze' or 'stop' in English, see the above lines.

I don't think just because you were not born in this country, you are qualified for any special loan programs, government sponsored bank loans or tax breaks, etc., so you can

open a hotel, coffee shop, trinket store, or any other business.

We did not go to the aid of certain foreign countries and risk our lives in wars to defend their freedoms, so that decades later they could come over here and tell us our constitution is a living document; and open to their interpretations.

I don't hate the rich I don't pity the poor.

I know pro wrestling is fake, but so are movies and television. That doesn't stop you from watching them.

I think Bill Gates has every right to keep every penny he made and continue to make more. If it ticks you off, go and invent the next operating system that's better, and put your name on the building.

It doesn't take a whole village to raise a child right, but it does take a parent to stand up to the kid; and smack their little behinds when necessary, and say 'NO!'

I think tattoos and piercing are fine if you want them, but please don't pretend they are a political statement. And, please, stay home until that new lip ring heals. I don't want to look at your ugly infected mouth as you serve me French fries! I am sick of 'Political Correctness.' I know a lot of black people, and not a single one of them was born in Africa; so how can they be 'African-Americans'? Besides, Africa is a continent. I don't go around saying I am a European-American because my great, great, great, great, great grandfather was from Europe. I am proud to be from America and nowhere else.

And if you don't like my point of view, tough!!!

I Pledge Allegiance To The Flag, Of The United States Of America, And To The Republic, For Which It Stands, One Nation Under God, Indivisible, With Liberty And Justice For All! I was asked to print this if I agree. Well if Andy Rooney said it or not, I would still print it because, these are 100% my sentiments.

It is said that 86% of Americans believe in God. Therefore, I have a very hard time understanding why there is such a problem in having 'In God We Trust' on our money and

(Continued see Rooney Page 9)

(Rooney Continued From Page 8)
 having 'God' in the Pledge of Allegiance. Why don't we just tell the 14% to ***Be Quiet!!!***

SSSSSS

**This Article appeared in
 the PARR Issue newspaper
 Fall-Winter 1993**

By Bob Manzke

Joe, an Indian, owned a piece of property bordering on Bear Trap Creek. Access to Joe's place was a dirt road running north off U.S. Hwy. 2, east of Ashland, after passing Joe's place, Bear Trap creek meandered through the rice paddies, a place called the Kakagon Sloughs. Eventually, the creek emptied into Chequamegon Bay of Lake Superior. Gus was a factory worker in Milwaukee and of modest means.

During the years shortly before and after World War II, he made two yearly trips to the Kakagon Sloughs for fishing (when he could afford it). He always rented a boat from his friend, Joe. Joe and Gus became very close friends. At Christmas time, Gus sent Joe a box of holiday foods and a few dollars to make sure Joe and his family had a merry Christmas. In turn, Joe made sure to reserve his best boat for Gus telling Gus in which deep curve in the creek the walleyes were biting, or if the big northerns were hitting off Brush Point, or Oak Point, or in the Sand Ct. Gus died in 1964.

Joe spent a large chunk of his modest income to buy a bus ticket to Milwaukee to attend his friend's funeral and bid his old friend good bye. Billy's memories of the trips to the "Sloughs", as his dad Gus referred to the fishing trips, were memories of friendship and joy. The long motor trips to the Northwoods always seemed longer to Billy, due to the anticipation of seeing Joe and his family again and the wonderful hours spent fishing with his dad.

After his dad's death, Billy's trips to the "Sloughs" stopped. Eventually Billy married and had a son. Attempting to expose his son to the joy, warmth and friendship he knew as a boy, he decided to take his son on a fishing trip to the "Sloughs." Many years had passed since his last

PARR MEMBERSHIP APPLICATION		
(PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY)		
NAME(S)		
ADDRESS		
CITY	STATE	ZIP
PHONE()	DATE	
SINGLE MEMBERSHIP \$15()	FAMILY \$20()	
NEW MEMBER()	RENEWAL()	DONATIONS\$
FILL OUT AND MAIL ALONG WITH YOUR CHECK TO: P.A.R.R.; P.O. BOX 270007; MILWAUKEE, WI. 53227-0007		

trip to the "Sloughs." Two decades had passed and it was now the early nineteen eighties and things had changed.

Billy was about to discover just how much things had changed. Traveling east on Hwy. 2 from Ashland, Billy immediately picked up the landmarks that told him which road to turn on to get to Joe's place. After parking the car, Billy went to the door and knocked. Joe's house was still the same as he remembered it. A figure of a man appeared behind the screen door. Before Billy could say anything a voice hissed: "Get the f- out of here." Billy was dumbfounded. "You don't understand", Billy exclaimed: "My dad and I rented boats from Joe years ago. Joe and my dad were the best of friends. Is Joe around? I would like to talk to him." At this point the screen door opened a crack and a rifle was pointed at Billy. The voice behind the screen door again hissed: "You don't hear so well, do you? I told you to get the f____ out of here."

Yes, Billy, things had changed. The seeds of hate planted and nurtured by the professional Indian and his money grubbing lawyers, were beginning to bear fruit. In the ten years since Billy's encounter, these seeds of hate have spread across the north like a plague of noxious weeds. Which relationship do you feel the Gods of both the red man and the white man would approve of? The lasting friendship of Joe and Gus, or the hate-filled brief encounter between Billy and the man behind the screen door?

All the people of the North woods, Early Americans and the later arrivals, have a lot to thank the

professional Indian for. They have destroyed 150 years of progress in race relationships.

PARR Ed Note: The events described in this tale actually occurred. Oh, what happened to Joe? You'll have to ask the man with the rifle, standing behind that screen door.

SSSSSS

Political Correctness

We think this defines Federal Indian Policy to a tee. The following is the 2007 winning entry from an annual contest at Texas A&M University calling for the most appropriate definition of a contemporary term.

This year's term: Political Correctness: "Political Correctness is a doctrine, fostered by a delusional, illogical, liberal minority and rabidly promoted by an unscrupulous mainstream media, which holds forth the proposition that it is entirely possible to pick up a turd by the clean end."

What is a Far Left Socialist but a Marxist without a gun?"

FAIR USE NOTICE: This newsletter contains copy-righted material, the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copy-right owner. We are making such material available in our efforts to advance understanding of the political, human rights, economic, democratic, scientific, and social justice issues, including historical accuracy, as pertains to federal Indian policy/programs. We believe this constitutes a "fair use" of any such copy-righted material as provided for in section 107 of material on this site is distributed the US Copy-right Law. In accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107, the without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving this information for research and educational purposes. Articles provided here without permission from the copy-right owner are provided for the purposes of criticism, comment, scholar-ship and research under of the "fair use" provisions the Federal copyright laws. This material may not be distributed further without permission of the copy-right owner, except for "fair use".